# Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

	***************************************	Star	ndard .	<u>Applica</u>	atic	on System (S	AS)			
2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4										
Program authority:	P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001.									
	Section 100	Section 1003 (g)  Write NOGA ID here:								
Grant period:	January 1,	2016, t	o July 31	, 2020, pe	ndin	g future federal	**************************************			
	allocations.	allocations. Pre-award costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015.								
Application deadline										
Application deadline: Submittal	5:00 p.m. C							Place d	ate stamp here.	
information:	Six comple	te copi	es of the	application	n, th	ree with original		్జన	2015	Texas
mormation.	aforementic	nue ink	preterre	d), must be	e rec	ceived no later than	the			S S
						ress: Grants Administrati			言	m-
	Dodanie	ant Com	Texas	Education	Δαε	Grants Administrati	on		22	000
				orth Cong				in the second	$\Box$	್ಷ: 5
				ı, TX 7870				2.3		0.0
Contact information:	Leticia Gov	ea: letic	ria noves	Mtea teve		3111		÷, C3	ラ	Ŋ.
	(512) 463-1	427	Jia.govee	iwica.icxa	:5.yc	JV,	i	ecument Control Cant Grants Administration		Education Agency
	(512) 463-1427 Schedule #1—General Information					<u>~~</u>				
Dort de Amelia AlC-			neuule <del>n</del>	- IGener	al III	irormation				
Part 1: Applicant Inform	ation		1							
Organization name Rosebud-Lott ISD				District #	Ca	ampus name/#		Amendme	nt#	ı
Vendor ID #	I ESC D		073-905		Mi	iddle School 041				
1-741672405	ESC R	zyion #		31	ress	ional District#		UNS#		
Mailing address	1 14			31		City	10.	13143540	715.0 .	
P. O. Box 638						Rosebud	TAOCE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O	State TX	ZIP Code 76570	<del>)</del>
Primary Contact					1	11000000		11/	70370	
First name		M.I.	Last n	ame			Title			
Charmaine		J				rriculum Specialist/DCSI				
			l address FA		FAX #		211300001			
						583-2903				
Secondary Contact										
First name M.I.			Last name Ti		Title	8				
Shanna A			Howell P		Projec	oject Manager				
074 500 500-			l address FAX			FAX#	#			
SIONCI			ell@rlisd.	огд			254-5	33-1152		
Part 2: Certification and Incorporation										
I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding										
a. Saurentou Hailied GDOA	c nas aumon	zcu IIIc	: as its re	oresentati	Ve to	) Obligate this orgai	nizotion	tin a laamiit	h.i	
contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all										

organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

THE PERSON OF TH	Aut	horized	Officia	l:
--	-----	---------	---------	----

First name Don

M.I. Last name Hancock

Title Superintendent FAX #

Telephone # 254-583-4510

Email address dhancock@rlisd.org

254-583-4469

Signature (blue ink preferred)

Date signed

Only the legally responsible party may sign this application.

August 19, 2015

701-15-107-011

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS			
Schedule #1—General Information (cont.)				
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only):			
Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applications				

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

Schedule	Schedule Name	Application Type		
#		New	Amended	
1	General Information		П	
2	Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances		N/A	
4	Request for Amendment	N/A		
5	Program Executive Summary			
6	Program Budget Summary			
7	Payroll Costs (6100) – SEE NOTE	See		
8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200) – SEE NOTE	Important		
9	Supplies and Materials (6300) - SEE NOTE	Note for		
10	Other Operating Costs (6400) – SEE NOTE	Competitive		
11	Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) - SEE NOTE	Grants*		
12	Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds			
13	Needs Assessment			
14	Management Plan			
15	Project Evaluation			
16	Responses to Statutory Requirements			
17	Responses to TEA Requirements			
18	Equitable Access and Participation		<del></del>	

\*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required and if it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

rexas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
Schedule #2—Required Attachments a	and Provisions and Assurances
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 1: Required Attachments	(io) difficultients only).

The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-related documents that are required to be submitted with the application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appendix).

#	Applicant Type Name of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment			
No fi	scal-related attachments are requ	ired for this grant.		
#	Name of Required Program-Related Attachment	Description of Required Program-Related Attachment		
No p	rogram-related attachments are re	equired for this grant.		
Part	2: Acceptance and Compliance			
Rv m	arking an X in each of the house t	ooley the outle of the control of th		

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances. Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and require a separate certification.

X **Acceptance and Compliance** 冈 I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines.  $\boxtimes$ I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant. 冈 I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements. I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all 図 Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements. I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my  $\boxtimes$ acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements. I certify my acceptance of and compliance with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances  $\boxtimes$ requirements.

Jse Only
On this date:
By TEA staff person:

1 exa	is Eal	acation	Agen	CV

# Schedule #2—Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances

I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.

#	r certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.
177	Provision/Assurance The applicant provides assurance that
1.	The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy.  The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Eamily Educational Rights and Privacy Act (EEDDA) to
2.	T the rankly bedieditional rights drug Filvacy Act (FERPA) from deneral release to the public
3.	The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.
4.	The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.
5.	<ol> <li>The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements:         <ol> <li>Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements.</li> <li>Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable.</li> <li>If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality.</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding.</li> <li>Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.</li> </ol> </li> <li>The LEA provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S.</li> </ol>
6.	Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.  The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:
7.	<ol> <li>Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.         <ul> <li>(A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;</li> <li>(B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals thation. Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and</li> <li>ii. Uses the definition of student growth as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
***************************************	the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

8.

across classrooms.

- iii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
  - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
  - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
  - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
    - Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
    - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
    - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
  - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
  - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas state-design model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

 Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period: Fall 2017.

- Create an innovative high school that enables students to graduate with a high school diploma and an associate degree; or high school diploma and 60 college credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.
- Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

- Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness through use of the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System and Texas Principal Evaluation and Support System.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas statedefined criteria in TEC §29.081; and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, , the LEA/campus will have:
  - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school;
  - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
  - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
  - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

# Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1.

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2016), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an Early College High School. These partnerships are marked by signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation. Key partnerships include:
  - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
    - Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation;
    - Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
    - iii. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
  - (B) Contract/partner with the Texas Early College High School Technical Assistance provider for access to training, coaching, and technical assistance through to earning designation. Once designated, will continue work with the technical assistance provider as is required as a condition of TEA designation.
  - (C) Contract/partner with a Texas Early College High School demonstration site. Demonstration sites are identified by TEA each year from 2015-2016 forward. Terms of the contract/partnership shall be designed for demonstration site/ model program for the TTIPS LEA/campus by TEA in early 2015-2016

# Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

- 7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (January 1, 2016), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.
- 8. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
  - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2016), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

degree

- (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credits toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate. The plan must provide pathway to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.

- 9. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program at an autonomous high school; operating with:
  - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
  - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
  - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
  - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29,908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: Commissioner's Rules Concerning Early College Education Program

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will submit an Early College High School Readiness Assessment as a supplement to the TTIPS required Implementation Readiness Portfolio. Assessment forms will be provided by the TEA TTIPS program office.

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas Early College High School designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2017-2018 school year.

9. The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Early Learning Intervention Model in an

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

elementary school, the campus will implement in accordance with the following federal requirements:

- 1. Offer full-day kindergarten.
- 2. Establish or expand a high-quality preschool program.

A high-quality program includes structural elements that are evidence-based and nationally recognized as important for ensuring quality. Implementation under this grant program must meet the requirements of a high-quality preschool program, as defined in the U.S. Department of Education's Preschool Development Grants program. Under this definition, program must have:

- (A) High staff qualifications, including a teacher with a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or a bachelor's degree in any field with a state-approved alternative pathway;
- (B) High-quality professional development for all staff;
- (C) A child-to-instructional staff ratio of no more than 10 to 1;
- (D) A class size of no more than 20 with, at a minimum, one teacher with high staff qualifications;
- (E) A full-day program;
- (F) Inclusion of children with disabilities to ensure access to and full participation in all opportunities;
- (G) Developmentally appropriate, culturally and linguistically responsive curricula, and learning environments that are aligned with the state early learning and development standards for at least the year prior to kindergarten entry;
- (H) Individualized accommodations and supports so that all children can access and participate fully in learning activities;
- (I) Instructional staff salaries that are comparable to the salaries of local K-12 instructional staff;
- (J) Program evaluation to ensure continuous improvement;
- (K) On-site or accessible comprehensive services for children and community partnerships that promote families' access to services that support their children's learning and development;
- (L) Evidence-based health and safety standards.
- 3. The LEA has assessed the benefits of contracting with a community-based provider to provide the high-quality preschool programs for students enrolled in an elementary school implementing the model, either at the TTIPS campus or through an existing high-quality child care or Head Start program within the LEA or nearby community. The LEA is aware that the preschool is not required to be physically located in the eligible elementary school. However, students must be enrolled in the grantee school that is implementing the early learning model to receive preschool services funded through the grant program.
- 4. Provide educators, including preschool teachers, time for joint planning across grade levels.
- 5. Replace the principal who led the school prior to the commencement of the early learning model.
- 6. Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that-
  - (A) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- 7. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so.
- 8. Implement strategies such as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain high quality

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

educators.

- 9. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is:
  - (A) Research-based:
  - (B) Developmentally appropriate:
  - (C) Vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
  - (D) Promotes academic content across a range of development: math and science, literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, self-regulation, and executive functions.
- 10. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 11. Provide staff with ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development such as coaching and mentoring that is:
  - (A) Aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program
  - (B) Designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to implement school reform strategies.
- 12. Operate in compliance with all regulations in the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines (PKG).
- 13. Utilize Texas State Board of Education approved pre-kindergarten instructional materials.
- 14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), <u>CLI Engage</u> platform, and utilize the <u>Texas School</u> <u>Ready!</u> child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
- 2. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students:
  - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
  - (B) Select new staff

10.

- Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
- Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- 5. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

11.

12.

- 6. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:

(A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.

- (B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
- (C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
- 9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Whole-School Reform model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

1. Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.

- (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing whole-school reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard; published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:

(A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.

- (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on a student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
- (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation
  with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The
  whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
- 5. The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
  - (A) School leadership
  - (B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
  - (C) Non-academic supports for students
  - (D) Family and community engagement

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

rexas	E Education Agency	Standard Application System (SA)			
	<ol> <li>Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.</li> </ol>				
	<ol> <li>Select a CMO or EMO using a rigorous review process. This rigorous review process is a determination by the LEA that the CMO is likely to produce strong results for the school, by an assessment that school currently operated by the CMO or EMO have produced strong results over that last three years, indicated by:</li> </ol>				
	(C) high school graduation rates	nic achievement aps either within a school or relative to other public schools at the areas of civil rights, financial management and student			
	3. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any form	er student who wishes to attend the school.			
	iist of Civio and Eivio providers.	tract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved			
	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it select the following federal requirements:	ts to implement the <u>closure model</u> , the campus will meet all of			
13.	<ol> <li>Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving with reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or n schools for which achievement data are not yet available.</li> </ol>				
	A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding.				
	The LEA/campus provides assurance that is aware that Rural LEAs are eligible to propose a modification to an element of the transformation or turnaround model. The LEA/campus has examined their eligibility to propose a modification, and assessed best-fit and benefits to proposing a modification.				
14.	Under federal regulations for this program, a Rural LEA applicant may propose to modify one element of the transformation or turnaround model, but only in a manner that the modification meets the original intent and purpose of the element and does not eliminate the element from the resulting implementation plan. Applicants eligible to propose a modification are only those identified as eligible for the U.S Department of Education Rural and Low Income program. Eligibility lists are available here: <a href="http://www2.ed.gov/programs/reaprlisp/eligible14/index.html">http://www2.ed.gov/programs/reaprlisp/eligible14/index.html</a>				
	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Whole-School Reform model, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:				
	<ol> <li>Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.</li> <li>(A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing whole-school reform models in one or more low-achieving school.</li> </ol>				
15.	<ol> <li>The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard; published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:         <ul> <li>(A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.</li> <li>(B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on a student academic achievement or attainment outcome.</li> <li>(C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>				
	3. Evidence supporting the efficacy of the	whole-school model selected is based on an implementation			
	For TEA	A Use Only			
Change	es on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:			

ıexas	S Education A	
		with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The
		whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
	4.	The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
	5.	The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
		(A) School leadership
	]	(B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
		(C) Non-academic supports for students
		(D) Family and community engagement
	The applica	nt provides assurance that student families and the campus community were engaged in planning for
16.	i ilic grant ap	plication, and the campus/district took action to solicit input from these stakeholders. This is not the
	taken into ci	orisideration when selecting the model to implement. If awarded, the applicant commits to mooningfully
	Cugage iain	ines and the continuitity in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing bacic
	I me applicat	It provides assurance that it selected for award, the applicant will fully engage in grant populations.
17.	illallaged by	/ IEA. THESE HEGOLISTIONS MAY INCLUDE Additional clarifications and modifications to policition, budget
	proposed pr	ance targets proposed, if it is determined by TEA that federal requirements will not be met though the
	The applicar	nt provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for
	i ambbarring ri	no eminopo sonoo intolovement enons. This individual/attica will have brimany soonaasibiib, and
18.	auditority to	clibuling the elective implementation of the grapt option approved by TEA: copyo as the district
10.	HOISON TO TE	A did those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/computers part of
	rue approve	u grant. The applicant also provides assurance that TEA will be notified immediately of any changes to
	tins contact.	
19.	The applicar	nt provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant
19.	Onemation	reetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic meetings of grantees, the Advancing
	""hiovernen	its iii Euucalioli Comerence, and snaring of hest practices
	Accountabilit	nt provides assurance that it will continue to fully engage in all required elements of Texas ty Interventions System (TAIS) framework; regardless of model selected for implementation.
	All TTIPS gra	ant awarded schools are required to submit an annual improvement plan and quarterly progress
20.	Tehous doca	interning school's continuous processes around data analysis, needs assessment, planning
20.	mithici netirati	ion and monitoring, as defineated in the TAIS framework
	if awarded u	nder this grant opportunity, the applicant also provides assurance that it will engage in peccessor.
	endir to aligi	I and complement existing school improvement strategies, goals and interventions in their final
	abbroved I I	IFO yidili, iii videl to effectively deliver a single and comprehensive school improvement also
	THE applican	it provides assurance that at the close of the pre-implementation period, it will propore and automit and
	included in th	ion Readiness Portfolio to the TEA TTIPS program office. Specific requirements for the portfolio are ne Program Guidelines for this RFA.
21.	The applican	at understands that support specialists in the TEA TTIPS program office will conduct a comprehensive
	review and a	issessment of the Implementation Readiness Portfolio and qualitative data obtained through onsite
	observations	and staff interviews. The applicant assures it will engage with the TEA program office to provide
	clarifications	and adjustments to the portfolio, based on the review and assessment recommendations.
22.	The applican	t provides assurances that it will participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching
	auphort brow	ided by TEA and/or its subcontractors.
23.	The applican	t will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the
	grant interver	nuon models.
24.	The 1 EA	t will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA and its contractors.
25	THE FEWCALL	ipus assures TEA that data to meet federal requirements will be available and reported as requested
	✓ list of fedul	ired data elements is included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

i exas Education Agency	
. or an addation rigidity	

#### Schedule #4—Request for Amendment

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

### Part 1: Submitting an Amendment

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application.** Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail or by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

### Part 2: When an Amendment Is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend" guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

Par	Part 3: Revised Budget					
			Α	В	С	D
#	Schedule #	Class/ Object Code	Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget	Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Grand Total
1.	Schedule #7: Payroll	6100	\$	\$	\$	\$
2.	Schedule #8: Contracted Services	6200	\$	\$	S	\$
3.	Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials	6300	\$	\$	\$	S
4.	Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs	6400	\$	\$	\$	\$
5.	Schedule #11: Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	\$	\$	\$	\$
6.	Total direct costs:		<b>  s</b>	\$	S	\$
7.	Indirect cost (%):		\$	\$	S	\$
8.	Total costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$

Revised Annual Budget Breakdown					
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	5-Year Total Budget Request
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

lexas t	caucation Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
		Schedule #4—Request for Amer	ndment (cont.)
County	<u>/-district number of </u>	or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 4:	Amendment Ju	stification	
Line #	# of Schedule Being Amended	Description of Change	Reason for Change
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

lexas Education	Agency
-----------------	--------

### Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver.

Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: accelerated achievement, system transformation, and sustained reform.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- Sense of urgent need for change
- High expectations for results
- Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- Organizational structures
- Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Rosebud-Lott Middle School is one of four campuses in a consolidated district that serves several rural communities. Rosebud-Lott Middle school is unique in that it is one of the few schools in our area that has the potential to provide students with a high-quality education through an experienced veteran staff, multiple opportunities to integrate technology into learning, and access to a smaller, more individualized instructional environment. Rosebud-Lott Middle School has adopted the district mission statement which reads, "Rosebud-Lott ISD believes that all children can learn, and our mission is to create a supportive learning environment that nurtures self-esteem and physical well-being, while enabling students to reach their fullest academic and social potential. The district accepts the responsibility for preparing students to be productive citizens and lifelong learners in a changing world." This statement sums up the direction in which all middle school stakeholders intend to move. Rosebud-Lott Middle School was once designated as a campus in need of improvement. We are proud to say that we no longer carry that label; however, RLMS understands that each year is new; and each group of students is different, which is why we intend to continue to add and improve upon the processes and procedures that will allow our campus to become one of the best schools in the state. With that mission in mind, Rosebud-Lott Middle School continually analyzes multiple sources of data in an effort to respond accurately and efficiently to areas of need. For instance, our data reveal that Rosebud-Lott Middle School's at-risk and economically disadvantaged populations are in need of academic support particularly in the areas of reading, writing, and mathematics. Although, our school met standard, according to the 2015 accountability reports, the majority of our students who make up the aforementioned populations are failing to meet standard or make progress in disproportionately large numbers. Considering, the at-risk and economically disadvantaged students make up the majority of the student population, neglecting to meet the needs of these students would be detrimental to the success of our school and, more importantly, a huge disservice to those students. Rosebud-Lott Middle School is applying for this grant so that we may transform our school into a learning environment that meets the needs of all student populations. Our district knows full-well how easy it is for a campus to slip into a "did not meet standard" rating as well as how difficult it is to work our way out without the proper training and supports in place. Therefore, we know that we can't rest on the laurels of our past success and need to continually improve the way we do business at the middle school campus. With the aide of this grant, Rosebud-Lott will offer several solutions. We will improve our instructional program through the constant monitoring of teacher lesson plans and assessment data to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity and is having the intended impact on student achievement. Staff members will be trained in all components of our RTI model. We will hire instructional coaches to provide additional support to teachers and administrators to implement strategies to support our low-performing students. We will continue to train personnel to utilize technology to broaden our instructional program. With this technology, opportunities for continued learning for both teachers and students will be made available. RLMS also plans to establish smaller learning communities so that teachers and interventionists can more effectively address areas of academic need. Last, academic progress will be monitored through a data wall that will give educators information to drive instruction. Rosebud-Lott Middle School does not intend to stop there.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

### Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

None of these interventions will be effective if the educators involved are not offered the proper training and support. Therefore, we intend to use grant funds to train staff so that we can create practices that are sustainable from year to year, despite the absence of extra funding in the future. Rosebud-Lott Middle school plans to institute collaborative professional development approaches such as instructional rounds as a system for measuring the effectiveness instructional practices, while offering teachers opportunities for continuous growth. The service center will also be invited to take a more active roll in providing on-going professional development opportunities that utilize a more hands-on approach rather than the traditional "sit-and-get" means of instructional delivery. In addition, current teachers and all new-hires will participate in a new evaluation system that takes in account student growth as a way to obtain valuable feedback for teacher improvement. Rosebud-Lott Middle School further understands that teacher and student improvement will not occur without the support of a well-trained administrative team. Therefore, our campus will offer leadership training that will impact campus improvement from the top-down to work in tandem with our other improvement efforts. Rosebud-Lott Middle School will set high expectations for all targeted areas of improvement i.e. student achievement, instruction, professional development, leadership, external services, and parental and community involvement. Each of these initiatives will be monitored through a continuous process of data collection and analysis. The middle school staff and administrative team will set SMART goals that are aligned with high expectations for improvement. For instance, our campus expects to improve the academic achievement of our at-risk and economically disadvantaged students to a passing rate of 70% on the 2016 STAAR assessment in all core areas by the first administration. With these high standards, RLMS will offer an instructional program in which parents and community members will strive to have their children involved. Through utilizing a highly resourceful improvement team made up of the campus principal, project manager, teacher leaders, and counselor, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will create organizational structures that require will little funding after their initial inception. The team plans to do this through employing and improving upon our existing support systems like: our data monitoring systems, technology resources, PLC meetings, and existing highly qualified staff. Grant funds will be used to hire new staff members as well as train all new staff members in the processes and procedures necessary to implement all the previously mentioned interventions. Our intention is to create an instructional program that will result in increased enrollment so that the district can continue to employ the individuals who will no doubt make these efforts a success. This success, of course, will be measured through predetermined milestones that will help our campus navigate through the improvement efforts. RLMS will gage student progress, teacher improvement, quality leadership, as well as service provider effectiveness by setting attainable goals that will be measured by 60-day lesson plan reviews, six weeks grades and assessments, "Get-It-Together" student and parent conferences, weekly PLC documentation, semester exams and projects, district benchmark data, and of course, STAAR results. Using multiple sources of data will allow Rosebud-Lott Middle School to function with a high level of operational flexibility. Through utilizing multiple sources of data, RLMS is able to identify potential barriers to academic success and accurately determine effective solutions that involve all stakeholders despite any external variables that are often beyond our sphere of influence. This type of flexibility makes us more responsive to the needs of our campus, which will result in clearer lines of communication to all stakeholders. It is this last area in which Rosebud-Lott Middle School intends to focus its improvement efforts. For too long, our campus has failed to establish and sustain clear lines of communication with our parents and community members. We realize they are an integral part of any improvement effort. With the guidance of TCDSS and the improvement team, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will participate in the training, hiring of personnel, and improvement activities that will result in transparent and sustainable lines of communication to all stakeholders. In the end, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will create an academic environment in which teachers work collaboratively to continually improve instruction, leadership team members become a valuable resource for sustainable improvement efforts, parents and community members help drive positive change efforts, and students participate in a safe, responsive, high-quality learning environment that will last well into the future.

For TE	A Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

					Sched	ule #6P <sub>1</sub>	Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary	idget Surr	ımary					
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	er or ver	1dor ID: 073	3-905				Amen	dment # (fo	Amendment # (for amendments only):	nts only):				T
Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g)	.L. 107-1	110 ESEA, 8	as amended	by the NCL	.B Act of 200	01, Section	1003(g)							T
Grant period: January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allo award costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015.	ry 1, 2010 mitted fro	6, to July 31 m October	, 2020, pen 1, 2015, to E	ding future f Jecember 3	≌	cations, Pre-	Fund	Fund code: 276						1
Budget Summary														1
Schedule #/Title	Class/ Object Code	Year 1 Program Cost	Year 1 Admin Cost	Amount of Year 1 as Pre- award	Year 2 Program Cost	Year 2 Admin Cost	Year 3 Program Cost	Year 3 Admin Cost	Year 4 Program Cost	Year 4 Admin Cost	Year 5 Program Cost	Year 5 Admin Cost	Total Budgeted Cost across all Years	7
#7-Payroll Costs	6100	\$258,876	0\$	\$0	\$345,276	\$0	\$345,276	w	\$345,276	w	\$345,276	69	\$1,639,980	T
#8-Professional and Contracted Services	6200	\$20,300	\$0	\$0	\$50,300	G	\$50,300	\$	\$50,300	Ð	\$50,300	69	\$221,500	<del></del>
#9-Supplies and Materials	6300	0\$	\$0	0\$	\$17,500	ψ,	\$17,500	₩	\$17,500	v	\$17,500	G	\$70,000	7
#10-Other Operating Costs	6400	\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	s,	\$8,000	63	\$8,000	ь	\$8,000	s	\$40,000	
#11-Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX		s	vs	€	€ <del>9</del>	v	69	69	မ	w	မာ	0\$	
Consolidate Administrative Funds	inistrative	Funds	X Yes □ No	ş									* THE STREET STR	
4.5% in (S	4.5% indirect costs (see note):	N/A		N/A	N/A	es	N/A	45	N/A	s	N/A	u)	s	
Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column):	ted costs column):	\$287,176	S	\$	\$421,076	\$	\$421,076	y,	\$421,076	\$	\$421,076	s,	\$1,971,480	-
						Administra	Administrative Cost Calculation	culation						-
Enter the total grant amount requested:	ount requi	ested:										\$1,971,480	,480	
Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%):	ninistrative	costs establi	shed for the	program (5%)								× .05	15	<del></del>

This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs.

OTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the irant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount.

ndirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs. JOTE:

No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested.

Mulliply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result.

- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2016. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
  - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2016-2017, 2017-2018, and 2018-2019, are designed to be full implementation years.
- Year 5; operating in school year 2019-2020, is designed to be a supported sustainability year. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the type of

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

			S	chedule #7-	Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100)	ts (6100)				
Count	County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	endor ID: 073-905					Am	nendment # (	Amendment # (for amendments only):	nts only):
E	Employee Position Title	Estimated # of Positions 100% Grant Finded	Estimated # of Positions <100% Grant Finded	Year 1 Amount Budgeted	Amount of Year 1 to be used as Pre-	Year 2 Amount Budgeted	Year 3 Amount Budgeted	Year 4 Amount Budgeted	Year 5 Amount Budgeted	Total Budgeted Costs across all Years
Acade	Academic/Instructional				Award					
_	Teacher	5		\$222,000	क	\$222,000	\$222,000	\$222,000	\$222,000	\$1.110.000
-	Educational aide			€9	es	မ	69	49	49	S
3 T	Tutor			es	49	<del>(</del> 5	69	€:	· ·	. 4
Progra	Program Management and Administration	dministration					•	<b>+</b>	<b>)</b>	+
4	Project Manager	***		\$0	69	\$55.000	\$55.000	\$55,000	\$55,000	\$220,000
5	Title			ь	49	er.	es.	e.	4	9
9 T	Title			·	e.		. 4	• •	<b>+</b> 6	> 4
Auxiliary	Ĺ.				<b>+</b>	•	<b>&gt;</b>	7	9	•
4 L	Parent Liaison		-	\$15,000	·	\$45,000	000	000	7.7	
╁	Title			000'0	9 6	000,014	000,614	nnn'c.e	\$15,000	\$75,000
$\dagger$				æ	<b>9</b>	(A)	\$	↔	G	G
n n	- 11(16			æ	\$	es.	₩	\$	G	s)
Other	Other Employee Positions									
10 C	Counselor		_	\$0	\$	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$100.000
-+	Title			€9	₩	69	S		49	\$
12 Ti	Title			ક	\$	€	49	\$	49	· s
<del>1</del> 3		Subtotal e	Subtotal employee costs:	\$237,000	es	\$317,000	\$317,000	\$317.000	\$317,000	\$1,505,000
Substil	Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs	enefits Costs								
	6112 Substitute pay			\$2,700	ક	\$2,700	\$2.700	\$2.700	\$2 700	\$13.500
	6119 Professional staff extra-duty pay	extra-duty pay		€\$	မှ	G	. θ	€9	6	500100
		a-duty pay		ક્ક	es	s	69	69	69	
	6140 Employee benefits	S		\$19,176	69	\$25,576	\$25.576	\$25.576	\$25.576	\$121 480
18 61	61XX   Tuition remission (IHEs only)	(IHEs only)		<del>vs</del>	\$	G	69	69	8	5
19	Subtotal su	Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs	, benefits costs	\$21,876	\$	\$28,276	\$28,276	\$28,276	\$28,276	\$134,980
20	Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs):	al employee costs tute, extra-duty, be	plus subtotal enefits costs):	\$258,876	49	\$345,276	\$345,276	\$345,276	\$345,276	\$1,639,980

or guidance on when to submit an amendment for changes to salary amounts in line items and a list of unallowable costs, see the guidance posted in the Amendment submission Guidance and Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance sections of the Division of Grants Administration <u>Administering a Grant</u> page.

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #8—Professional and Contracted Services (6200)
et the applicable requirements for sole-source providers. TEA's approval of such grant applications does not
Year 1
6
9
ed only for
g specific
Check If Year 1
2200
0\$
0\$
\$500
Q\$ □
\$2,400
\$10,000
\$2,400
ÇŞ
\$4,500
- <del>2</del> 0
\$
Subtotal of professional and contracted services requiring specific approval: \$0
Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:
subgrants \$20300
c) Grand total   \$20,300

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Divisic of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TE	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
DEA 4704	DEA 4704 45 407: 0 A 0 4404 40

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015--2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

				Schedule #	Schedule #9—Supplies and Materials (6300)	s and Mat	erials (630	(0,				
Count	Ģ	strict Number or	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905					An	nendment n	umber (for	Amendment number (for amendments only):	ts only):
				Ë	<b>Expense Item Description</b>	Descript	ion					
		Te	Technology Hardware—Not Capitalized	oitalized								
	#	Туре	Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6333	Ψ.				49							
	2				€9	<del></del>				The same		
	က				₩	<del>69</del>	↔	υĐ	€	↔	↔	<b>6</b> 3
	4				ь	T						
	2				ક							
6388	H	schnology softwa	Technology software—Not capitalized			\$0	8	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$70,000
6388	Su	upplies and mate	Supplies and materials associated with advisory council		or committee	49	8	67	8	€	<del>vs</del>	\$
		Subt	Subtotal supplies and materials requiring specific approval:	Jiring specif	ic approval:	ь	₩.	69	<del>€9</del>	<del>S</del>	es	s,
COMMUNICATION SOLITORIST		Remaining 630	Remaining 6300—Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval:	t do not requ	uire specific approval:	€	<del>s</del>	49	49	क	ь	s
				9	Grand total:	80	\$	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$17,500	\$70,000

or a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration <u>Administering a Grant</u> page.

For TEA Use Only	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 20 of 68

	Schedule #10—Other Operating Costs (6400)	her Operatir	ig Costs (64	(00)				
County	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905				Amendment r	Amendment number (for amendments only):	mendments o	only):
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 1 Pre-	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6412	Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations. Specify purpose:	ь	€	€	<del>(/)</del>	ь	69	49
6413	Stipends for non-employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations) Specify purpose:	ь	ь	<del>G</del>	<del>69</del>	49	49	¢.
6419	Travel for non-employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations Specify purpose:	<del>U)</del>	<b>&amp;</b>	₩	<del></del>	₩	<del>У</del>	சு
6411/ 6419	Travel costs for executive directors (6411); superintendents (6411); or board members (6419): Includes registration fees Specify purpose: Leadership Training	\$2,000	₩.	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$10,000
6429	Actual losses that could have been covered by permissible insurance	ક	ક	<del>()</del>	€	<del>(A)</del>	<del>s</del>	49
6490	Indemnification compensation for loss or damage	↔	₩	€9	€\$	¢\$	€9	4
6490	Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses	49	₩	€	€\$	8	₩	¢\$
6499	Membership dues in civic or community organizations (not allowable for university applicants) Specify name and purpose of organization:	ь	₩	€	<del>69</del>	65	€9	<b>G</b>
6499	Publication and printing costs—if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations) Specify purpose:	49	€÷	₩	<b>ь</b>	ь	69	Ф
	Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval:	\$		€	\$	↔	69	45
	Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval:	\$6,000	G	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$30,000
	Grand total:	\$8,000	v	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$40,000

n-state travel for employees does not require specific approval. Field trips consistent with grant program guidelines do not require specific approval. For more information about field trips as well as a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the Budgeting Costs Guidance Handbook, in the Allowable Cost an 3udgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
TO FORT VIO	DITA 4704 46 407. 000 4404 40

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #11—Capital Outlay (6600/15XX)

		Schedule #11	-Capital	Outlay (6	-Capital Outlay (6600/15XX)					
Co	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905						Amendm	ent number	(for ameno	Amendment number (for amendments only):
	15XX is only for use by chart	ᡖ	schools sp	sponsored by		a nonprofit organization.	ization.			
#	Description/Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all
999	6669/15XX—Library Books and Media (capitalized and controlled b	>	library)							2
		N/A	N/A	s	မှ	ક્ક	ક	\$	S	<del>69</del>
66X	66XX/15XX—Technology hardware, capitalized									
2			€>	ક	υĐ	ક	69	မာ	8	s
က		,	\$	\$	€	8	சு	ક	မှ	ь
4	HERE THE PARTY OF		સ	ક	မှာ	ક્ક	ક	ક	S	· 69
2			↔	\$	₩	63	49	69	es.	S
9			S	မှာ	€	\$	8	s	S	S
_			\$	ક્ક	es	ક્ક	ક્ક	es.	မ	· <del>G</del>
80			8	ક્ક	ક	မာ	မာ	မာ	69	· vs
¥99	66XX/15XX—Technology software, capitalized									
o !			\$	↔	\$	8	ક્ક	ક્ર	s	49
19			\$	es.	சு	ક્ક	s	S	s	(A)
-			es.	မာ	es.	<del>vs</del>	69	49	G	S
72			<b>€</b> >	\$	æ	G	€9	S	ક	₩.
			ક્ર	ક્ક	ક	<del>o</del>	8	မ	ь	· <del>c</del>
X99	66XX/15XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles									
4 1			↔	ક	မှ	S	G	es	€9	\$
2			€9-	ક્ર	<del>S</del>	ક	\$	မှ	မှာ	49
1 0			æ	643	69	ક	မာ	es	69	49
-			es.	es.	<del>63</del>	↔	\$	\$	\$	<del>()</del>
0			မ	မှာ	€>	<del>()</del>	<del>G</del>	\$	÷	es.
2 6			ક	မာ	\$	S	બ	€9	69	es es
70			[	ક	ક્ક	G	<del>S</del>	G	€5	\$
66X.	66XX/15XX—Capital expenditures for improvements to land, buildings,	d, buildings,	or equipment	nent that	materially	increase	their value	or useful	life	,
2.1				\$	↔	8	S	\$	\$	es.
		Gran	Grand total:	s	₩	₩.	\$	₩	ક	\$
Ind Bi	and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration	introfine A dee					T	3		

and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
RFA #701-15	RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16

2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 22 of 68

### Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Enrollment	84		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American	6	7.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic	32	38.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White	43	51.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian	0	0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Economically disadvantaged	55	65.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Limited English proficient (LEP)	0	0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Special Education	3	3.6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Disciplinary referrals	37		Rosebud-Lott Middle School Discipline Log
Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension	22		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in DAEP	4		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary referrals for Truancy	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Attendance rate	1000 and 1500 and 15	95.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12)		2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12)		98%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator)	15	100%	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator)	58	83%	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent students Tested		0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent At/Above Criteria		0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage)	DNA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage)	DNA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Graduates from Class of 2013 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)	(F)	DNA%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

rexas	Educa	tion	Agency	

## Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

According to the Texas Education Agency 2015 Accountability Summary report, Rosebud-Lott Middle School met standard in all four indices: Student Achievement, Student Progress, Closing Performance Gaps, and Post Secondary Readiness. However, according to the 2014-2025 STAAR data, Rosebud-Lott Middle School is still experiencing poor academic performance in two key sub-populations: At-Risk students and Economically Disadvantaged students.

In the 2014-15 school year Rosebud-Lott Middle School had 87 students. Of those 87 students 43% were identified as At-Risk and 46% were identified as Economically Disadvantaged. The 7<sup>th</sup> grade class consisted of a population of 44% labeled At-Risk and 64% labeled Economically disadvantaged. The 8<sup>th</sup> grade consisted of a population of 42% labeled At-Risk and 31% labeled Economically Disadvantaged.

These demographics are important considering the failure rates within these populations on both the 2014 and 2015 STAAR reading and math assessments were the highest compared with the other subpopulations.

In 2014, 73% 8<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk students and 77% of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved satisfactory performance on the second administration of the STAAR reading assessment. In 2015, 80% 8<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk students and 73% of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved satisfactory performance on the second administration of the STAAR reading assessment. However, the first administration yielded passing rates of 57% and 40% respectively. The data from the 2014 STAAR math assessment showed that the 8<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk population achieved a 39% passing rate and the Economically Disadvantaged population achieved a 30% passing rate after the second administration of that test. There is no final math data for the 2015 STAAR Math assessment. However, after constructing a Bell curve using the students' scale scores these populations continue to yields similar results.

In 2014, 70% 7<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk students and 85% of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved satisfactory performance on the STAAR reading assessment. In 2015, 47% 7<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk students and 64% of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved satisfactory performance on the STAAR reading assessment. The data from the 2014 STAAR math assessment showed that the 7<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk population achieved a 30% passing rate and the Economically Disadvantaged population achieved a 38% passing rate on that test. Once again, there is no final math data for the 2015 STAAR Math assessment; but a Bell curve using the students' scale scores these populations produced similar results. The data from the 2014 STAAR writing assessment reveal that the 7<sup>th</sup> grade At-Risk population achieved a 40% passing rate and the Economically Disadvantaged population achieved a 50% passing rate. In 2015, these populations received a 29% and 64% passing rate respectively.

Despite Rosebud-Lott's overall successes according to the Texas Education Agency 2015 Accountability Summary report our at-risk and economically disadvantaged students are not receiving the more individualized instruction they need to be successful. Additionally, after the loss of key staff members over the last two years, our achievement scores in both math and reading are beginning to backslide. This trend speaks to the need of establishing systems of sustainability in an effort to continuously raise academic achievement.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

# Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Staff	9.2		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers	7.2	78.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Professional Support staff	1	10.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	1	10.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Educational Aides	0	0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American Teachers	.1	1.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic Teachers	.3	4.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White Teachers	6.8	94.6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian Teachers	0	0%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Beginning Teachers	1	13.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 1-5 Years Experience	.8	11%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 6-10 Years Experience	1.9	26.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 11-20 Years Experience	3.2	44.7%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with over 20 Years Experience	.3	4.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Beginning Teachers	33,000		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 1-5 Years	33,983		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 6-10 Years	36,471		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	46,035		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	46,197		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Staff with less than a bachelor's degree	0	0%	Rosebud-Lott ISD Personnel Files
Staff with Bachelor's degree as highest level attained	8	73%	Rosebud-Lott ISD Personnel Files
Staff with Master's degree as highest level attained	2	18%	Rosebud-Lott ISD Personnel Files
Staff with Doctoral degree as highest level attained	1	9%	Rosebud-Lott ISD Personnel Files

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Foi	TEA Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	VP-97700465400000000000000000000000000000000
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

	S	Schedul	e #12—	Demogr	aphics a	and Part	icipants	to Be S	Served v	with Gra	nt Fund	s (cont	.)	
County	-district	number	or vend	or ID: 07	3-905			`	Amend	ment#	for ame	ndments	only).	
grant p	Studer rogram.	ts to Be Respor	e Served ise is lim	d with G	rant Fur pace pro	nds. Ente ovided, fi	er the nu ont side	imber of only. Us	student	s in eacl	n grade t	n he sei	ved un	der the
PK (3-4)	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
								40	42					82
Part 6: Teachers to Be Served with Grant Funds. Enter the number of teachers in each grade to be served under the grant program. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.														
PK (3-4)	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
								6	6					12

For TEA Use Only					
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:				
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

Lexas	HOUR	ation	Adency
I CAGS		<i>-</i> <b>G</b> (10 )	AUGIIGA

#### Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

In evaluating our model of continuous improvement and systematic school reform, Rosebud-Lott Middle School assessed the various needs of its campus. The time line for the key strategies used to conduct the data analysis and needs assessment was: coordinate a team (August 3), generate problem statements based on preliminary data and observations (August 4), determine the purpose of our needs assessment so we could pull relevant data (August 5), gather data on student performance that specifically targeted our at-risk and economically disadvantaged students (August 6-10), analyze the data to generate possible interventions (August 10), and complete a root cause analysis to focus interventions and suggest service providers (August 11). The team members involved in the planning process are: the superintendent, the campus principal, the Curriculum Director, the former TTIPS Project Manager, and a Teacher Leader. These team members met daily beginning August 3<sup>rd</sup> and ending August 11<sup>th</sup>, to generate the problem statement, collect, disaggregate, and discuss data in an effort to determine the greatest areas of student need, possible interventions, prospective service providers, ways to monitor the effectiveness of our proposed strategies, and finally determine a root cause on which to focus our efforts. The first step in our needs assessment was to consider our 2014-2015 STAAR data. After analyzing the reports from the previous academic year, we discovered that almost half of Rosebud-Lott ISD's middle school students are labeled at-risk for academic failure. According to the 2015 STAAR results, the students who made up the at-risk population in both the 7th and 8th grade had the highest instances of unsatisfactory performance on the reading section of the STAAR test after the first administration. Only 47% of at-risk 7<sup>th</sup> graders and 57% of at-risk 8th graders passed the first administration of the 2015 STAAR Reading assessment. Additionally, only 29% of 7<sup>th</sup> grade at-risk students passed the 2015 STAAR Writing assessment. Because the state changed the mathematics standards that year, there is no data on this population's performance on the 2015 STAAR Math assessment. However, when our campus team constructed a Bell curve containing the middle school student's math scores on this assessment, similar trends were found. Therefore, poor performance on state assessments in this subpopulation is devastating toward the accountability ratings of our small district. In fact, the at-risk 7th grade students alone accounted for almost one quarter of Rosebud-Lott Middle School's failure rates for the entire 7th grade population on the 2015 STAAR Reading assessment. Because of the high-failure rate within this subgroup, strategic interventions must be coordinated to address academic weaknesses in reading. The second largest demographic at the middle school campus is the economically disadvantaged population. The economically disadvantaged population, although larger, did not account for as many academic failures as did the at-risk population. However, many of the interventions proposed will address this group of students considering the large number if individual students who are found in both subpopulations. In an effort to determine a cause for this poor performance diagnostic data from the failing at-risk students' STAR Reading and STAR Math was compared to the state assessment results. Both sets of data reveal multiple weaknesses that can only be addressed in a more individualized RTI approach. In addition to academic data, the needs assessment team examined the RLMS 2014 snapshot report compiled by the SIRC team to look for nonacademic areas that may have affected student performance. According to this data, our campus is in need of more effective progress monitoring with a rigorous curriculum and a need to more effectively communicate with our stakeholders. Therefore, our team has selected the transformation model with LEA rural flexibility so that we can retain our current principal who has led our campus in positive academic and school climate changes, and will continue to work with the middle school staff to put sustainable systems in place that increase student achievement, promote leadership succession, and more deeply involve parents and community members in improving our school.

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)				
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only):			
Part 2: Model Selection and Best-Fit. Indicate the intervention model selected by the district/campus for implementation. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
	art side only. Ose Ariai iont, no smaller than 10 point.			
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification				
with Noral LEA Flexibility modification				
☐ Texas State-Design Model				
☐ Early Learning Intervention Model				
Turnaround				
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification				
With Refair LEA? Textbility Modification				
☐ Whole-School Reform				
☐ Restart				
☐ Closure				
Part 3: Please describe/demonstrate why the selected i	ntervention model best meets the unique needs of the			
school. Response is limited to space provided, front side o	inly. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.			
The transformation model with the Rural LEA flexibility modification is the best model for Rosebud-Lott ISD. This model calls for the redesign of the current leadership structure so that the teachers take more active leadership roles on the campus, which helps sustain campus improvement initiatives if the principal was to leave the district. The rural flexibility modification allows RLMS to retain a principal who has helped our campus achieve a level of academic success not seen in over six years. This model also requires us to change the way in which we evaluate our teachers. Rosebud-Lott is coming to realize that the classroom teacher is the heart of academic success, and our teachers need to begin improving their own practices to foster student growth. In fact, this model, along with our new evaluation system, requires that student growth be taken in account when measuring the effectiveness of a classroom teacher. This level of accountability will allow RLMS to provide quality professional development that will truly impact student learning. The transformation model also enables RLMS to provide financial incentives to encourage our teachers to work toward increased student achievement and to improve themselves as educators and campus leaders. Because of our remote location, Rosebud-Lott Middle School finds it difficult to extend time for learning opportunities, especially for the students who need it most. With this model, RLMS will have the ability to put systems in place that will provide us with new training in conjunction with existing resources to offer extended learning opportunities outside of the regular school day. The RLMS staff will also benefit from this model because it offers multiple training and collaborative opportunities that will be sustainable after the initial funds are gone. The transformation model has options for developing and increasing teacher and school leader effectiveness through leadership training opportunities on and off campus. It also requires comprehensive instructional re				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	Use Only On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

#### Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Rosebud-Lott Middle School has yet to complete a formal needs assessment. We plan, however, to survey stakeholders including parents and community members in an effort to obtain feedback about possible areas of improvement for our school. In writing this grant, input was gathered from previous data taken from our TTIPS snapshot survey. This report surveyed parents, teachers, and students about various aspects of our school.

According to the 2014 snapshot data, both the students and the middle school staff indicated that community and school involvement is limited. Parents are supportive of athletic events, but many do not attend other school functions in significant numbers. Parent contacts are sporadic. Electronic messages are utilized on occasion, but most teacher contact is left to the initiative of an individual teacher. The middle school utilizes communication strategies like newsletters and information handouts, but these communications are also few in number.

The snapshot data also reveals that teachers, students, and parents acknowledge and appreciate the principal's efforts to improve the campus climate. As a small campus frequent communication among teachers and the principal is possible. However, the authors of this snapshot report caution that, "The informal nature of communication on campus must be balanced with procedures and processes to ensure that instructional progress is planned and intentional." Therefore, a focus on high-impact instructional practices to increase student engagement, content rigor, and effective questioning strategies need to be in place to impact student learning.

Finally, ensuring that students feel safe in their learning environment can be addressed by implementing programs that promote positive behaviors such as anti-bullying programs.

The study concludes that 'significant instructional improvement can be achieved by planning and consistently monitoring these practices and installing communication structures.'

Based on this data, Rosebud-Lott Middle School has chosen the transformational model with the rural modification. The transformation model will help our campus develop and increase leadership effectiveness through continuous progress monitoring and communication activities. This model also calls for the implementation of comprehensive instructional strategies that will address our need for more rigorous questioning and content delivery. Creating a community-oriented school is another benefit of the grant model we have chosen. According to the parents surveyed in the aforementioned snapshot report, Rosebud-Lott must build its capacity to communicate with our stakeholders more frequently and effectively. Last, this model supports our school's efforts in providing operational flexibility and sustained support through efforts like student and teacher progress monitoring, instructional rounds, campus-wide positive behavioral supports, and leadership training.

In an effort to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis, Rosebud-Lott Middle school will hire a parent liaison to serve as the primary contact for the majority of communications with parents and community members concerning grant activities.

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

### Schedule #14—Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than

		space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no small	aller than 10 point.
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications
1.	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI)(required)	liaison to the campus in the school improvement process, key member of the district leadership team responsible for overseeing district-level accountability and conducting performance-based monitoring interventions	Master's Degree or higher, at least 10 years of teaching or public school administrative experience
2.	Project Manager	plans, executes and closes all projects related to the implementation of this grant	Bachelor's Degree or higher, at least 10 years of teaching or public school administrative experience
3.	Counselor	establishes programs and develops activities and resources to implement and evaluate the program, involves other school staff in making decisions about the school counseling program to meet the developmental, preventive, and remedial needs of students	Certified counselor, at least 3 years teaching experience, experience at the secondary level preferred
4.	Parent Liaison	primary contact for the majority of communications with parents and community members concerning grant projects and initiatives	High school diploma/ college experience preferred, experience working with the public
5.	Behavioral Facilitator	provides positive behavioral interventions and direct instruction to students who experience difficulty functioning in the classroom setting	Bachelor's Degree or higher, at least 10 years of teaching or public school administrative experience, administrator or counseling experience preferred
6.	Math/Science Interventionist	provides direct instruction to students identified as eligible for math services in individual, and/or small group settings	Valid teaching certificate or retired teacher status, experience in secondary math instruction
7.	Reading/Social Studies Interventionist	provides direct instruction to students identified as eligible for math services in individual, and/or small group settings	Valid teaching certificate or retired teacher status, experience in secondary reading instruction
8.	Reading/Writing Instructional Coach	as a colleague with teachers to support student learning and teacher practice with a focus on individual and group professional learning that will expand and refine the understanding about researched-based effective instruction for teachers	Valid teaching certificate, minimum of 5 years successful classroom teaching in secondary ELA experience preferred, Bachelor's degree or higher
9.	Math Instructional Coach	as a colleague with teachers to support student learning and teacher practice with a focus on individual and group professional learning that will expand and refine the understanding about researched-based effective instruction for teachers	Valid teaching certificate, minimum of 5 years successful classroom teaching in secondary math teaching experience preferred, Bachelor's degree or higher
10	Teacher Leaders	facilitate professional learning opportunities among staff members, lead conversations that engage peers in analyzing and using data to strengthen instruction, share instructional resources	Valid teaching certificate, employed as a teacher of Rosebud-Lott Middle School, at least 10 years teaching experience
11	Principal	shape the campus vision, improve instruction, create a climate hospitable to education, cultivate leaders, manage people, data, and processes	Master's degree in education, at least 10 years as a public school administrator

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			
	<u> </u>			

### Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff). Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

	COLLEGE TO THE THEORY	to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no	
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications
1.	TASB	Texas Association of School Boards (Engage) – provide community engagement service	Experience working with middle school students in community service programs
2.	Local Service Center	Provide Reader's and Writer's workshop model with ELA teachers; provide onsite, research-based trainings with core teachers to improve best practices	Certified personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators and school personnel
3.	School Improvement Network	Provide professional development opportunities for staff; provide digital learning opportunities for students; provide onsite training for services; provide diagnostic tools for educators	Personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators and school personnel
4.	Google	Provide technical training and support for student devices; provide teacher workshops on incorporating technology into the classroom	Certified technical personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators
5.	Apple	Provide technical training and support for student devices; provide teacher workshops on incorporating technology into the classroom	Certified technical personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators
6.	Vantage Learning	Provide access to their writing program and teacher training to increase student achievement in the area of writing	Experience working with middle school teachers in the area of writing; certified teacher or retired teacher experience preferred
7.	Renaissance Learning	Provide diagnostic tools for reading and math; provide Accelerated Reading and Math programs; provide progress monitoring training on these programs	Experience working with middle school teachers in the area of reading and math; certified teacher or retired teacher experience preferred
8.	Texas Instruments	Provide technical training and in-class support for student devices; provide teacher workshops on incorporating technology into the classroom	Certified technical personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators
9.	Flip Flippen Group	Capturing Kids Hearts – provide training in CKH behavioral intervention model; train the behavioral facilitator in teen leadership techniques	Experience working with middle school personnel in positive behavioral intervention programs
10.	Meadows Foundation	Provide behavior and mental health services and support for our students; train counselor and behavior facilitator in positive behavioral interventions	Experience working with middle school students in community service programs
11.	Lead4Ward	Provide data disaggregation and progress monitoring tools; provide leadership training;	Experience working with Texas public schools in educational leadership programs
12.	TCEA	Texas Computer Educators Association - provide technical training and support for teachers to effectively incorporate technology into the classroom	Certified technical personnel who have experience in providing cost-effective professional development opportunities, expert assistance, and direct services to educators

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

ıexas	Education	Agency
-------	-----------	--------

#### Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

In order to establish commitment and fidelity, we must secure buy-in. Leadership does not just say, "Do this;" and it gets done. The leaders on this campus will take point on the various interventions outlined in this grant application. Our leadership and administrative team will instill trust in our teachers, students, parents and community members by actively working to make these initiatives a success. Our leadership team will offer support and encouragement in the staff's efforts to improve. Teaching staff and administrative team members alike will be monitored and evaluated to ensure that improvement activities are implemented with fidelity. These continuous progress monitoring and evaluation activities must be done in a way that encourages collaboration, supportive feedback, honest reflection, goal setting, and accurate needs assessment. These activities must be transparent with the clearly defined purpose of improving student achievement; and they must include all members of the middle school campus in order to obtain the full commitment of the staff.

Another way to build commitment is to establish a community of learners. The leadership team will engage in learning activities along with the teaching staff. This will help the teaching staff and students make the connection that in order to improve the whole campus, everyone must make an effort. Closer monitoring practices need to be in place because 'what gets monitored, gets done.' Campus goals will be set together and made clear so everyone on the team knows what is expected. Rosebud-Lott Middle School intends to monitor student progress, teacher practices, leadership effectiveness, and service provider influence on student success. This heightened level of monitoring will help ensure that project initiatives are carried out with fidelity. Continuous progress monitoring makes it possible for our staff to know if we are on track in reaching our goals. It also allows us to discuss and modify our goals as a team because everyone is an essential part of the evaluation process.

To create sustainability in our interventions, Rosebud-Lott Middle School intends to change the way we do business. We will work to keep all stakeholders informed of our needs and goals. One way to do this is through creating a district-wide calendar that will keep stakeholders informed of all the activities taking place amongst the campuses. The district website will also be used to highlight student successes on all three campuses as well as host an electronic copy of the district calendar. Both the website and the calendar will be updated monthly. We will continue to monitor the progress of our students so we can offer timely and appropriate instruction. We will monitor and support the practices of our teachers through PLCs, instructional rounds, and different models of professional development delivery to create a community of learners who strengthen each other. The administration will take a more active role in this process so that teachers who are struggling get the support they need in an effort to retain quality, veteran teachers. Through monitoring and staff development, our campus will cultivate leaders who will work to sustain the campus goals and initiatives. In this environment, the principal and administrative staff will be held at a higher standard of accountability. They will have to become leaders of learning who are well informed about all aspects of progress on the campus. In the end, when the money is gone, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will have established a culture of learning that will sustain because it will have established cost-effective, informed systems to create and retain quality teachers, foster distributive leadership, and increased levels of community involvement through communication.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

ı exaş	Education	Adency

#### Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide continued funding and support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The elements of this proposed project design that will significantly increase capacity and create lasting change to the campus culture and practices are our use of data processes, implementation of PLCs, employment of instructional rounds, engagement in parental involvement, and our institution of positive behavioral supports. These elements were specifically selected because they are sustainable by nature. Each of these components, when implemented correctly and with fidelity will cost the district very little to continue. However, none of these elements are currently being implemented correctly or with fidelity. Therefore, the funds from this grant will be used to train staff to create processes and systems in the aforementioned areas so that we may sustain them at little cost in the future. First, our campus will improve its data processes. Data collection and disaggregation in and of itself is cost effective. Of course, the various programs that help generate data account for the bulk of the expense in this initiative. However, it is these data programs that save educators time in compiling valid and reliable information in a format that is easy to use, which saves time. This is important to the sustainability of this effort because educators will see the value in using data to drive instruction if collecting and interpreting that data does not take away from their instructional time. When educators begin to see continuous academic gains, start working as collaborative teams, and are able to participate in meaningful professional development, data collection activities will result in a lasting change for our teachers. Second, establishing PLCs will also increase teacher capacity and result in a lasting change on our campus. Well-organized PLCs will give our teachers support and feedback about their instructional practices. Working within a PLC allows teachers a chance to reflect on their own practices. This ability to reflect on their work creates an environment in which teachers strive to improve their craft for the benefit of their students, their team, and themselves. Third, RLMS will begin utilizing instructional rounds in an effort to gain more data on how to improve pedagogical practices. Teachers participating in instructional rounds will be given an opportunity to observe a master teacher deliver instruction. Like the PLCs, this gives teachers an opportunity to share constructive feedback and reflect on how to improve their own practices. This approach will also lend to a culture of collaboration and support that will be seen by educators and students. Fourth, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will intensify its efforts in encouraging parental involvement. Once we establish effective systems of communication, we will work to maintain them so they continue. Finally, our school will be more intentional in the implementation of our behavioral support system. It has come to our attention that a small faction of our student population is missing out on some quality instruction because of behavioral issues. With a more determined approach, RLMS intends to build a student community that feels supported. Our intention is to have the students feel that they are an integral part of our campus culture. With the aide of a behavioral facilitator and a counselor, we will work with our students who are having difficulty with classroom-appropriate behaviors. We intend to cross-train staff in these intensive behavioral supports if we find that we are no longer able to fill these positions in the future.

Rosebud-Lott Middle School will still actively seek funding opportunities to provide the best education for our students. However, in the event that no additional funds are available to our district, RLMS will continue with these initiatives with the resources we do have. Our goal is to improve these aspects of our school to such a degree that we will see increased enrollment, which will help generate the funds our school needs. Ultimately, by training our staff members in data processes, PLCs, instructional rounds, parental involvement, and positive behavioral supports we will be able to sustain these efforts despite a loss of funding or staff.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

### Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. This grant will fund several initiatives with the impetus of improving the academic achievement of Rosebud-Lott Middle School students, especially those identified as at-risk and economically disadvantaged. The process to improve the academic achievement of these students will be through improving the quality of our teachers by creating an environment of research, inquiry, reflection, and collaboration with a recognition of the underlying academic needs of students with behavioral issues. This process will specifically include on-going data collection to monitor student progress. Data will be collected to find strengths and weaknesses in both teachers and students in an effort to offer multiple outlets for professional growth and continue to offer support throughout the learning process. The data collected must be valid and reliable information concerning the program's progression, the program's attainment of expected goals, and the program's established timelines. Both quantitative and qualitative baseline data made up of STAAR results,

accountability reports, and the previous year's academic performance has been collected. Rosebud-Lott Middle School will continue to collect data prior to the implementation of the grant to add to that baseline data and form a more clear understanding of our campus's needs. Our campus will participate in an on-going examination of our data through PLCs; data wall entries; academic, diagnostic, and behavioral reports; teacher lesson plans; service provider evaluations; and classroom observations to determine program satisfaction or possible areas for modification. The middle school teachers and team leaders will attend data disaggregation training at the local service center. In summary, this process involves a continuous cycle of data analysis, needs assessment, implementation and monitoring, and improvement planning and program modification, while incorporating realistic and measurable goal setting within reasonable timelines. This on-going process of data examination is a cost-effective improvement strategy that, once the proper training and support is in place, will sustain even after the termination of the grant's financial and professional support.

Part 2: Data Collection. Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

As a campus, educators will meet and analyze multiple sources of data to determine our students' greatest areas of need. Once those areas are identified, PLCs will be formed to establish SMART goals and generate solutions for those needs. Each week, the PLCs will make intervention decisions based on valid and reliable data that is specific to the identified areas of need. Throughout this process, student and teacher data will be monitored and program adjustments will be made. The detailed data that will be used to document participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, and academic outcome data at the activity-level per student in our RTI program, improvement of teacher and instructional quality initiative, PBIS approach, sustained leadership effort, parent and community engagement component, and service provider evaluations are as follows:

RTI: STAR reading and math diagnostic data, Dyslexia, speech, SPED diagnostic data; MyAccess, Dream Box, Edivate reports, and AR reports; formative and informative assessment data; teacher observations. parent feedback, data wall information (historic grades, cumulative assessments, progress data, report cards); attendance; discipline; SPED referrals; interventionist instruction logs

Sustained Leadership: teacher retention reports: succession data; PLC participation sign-in sheets and data analysis logs; advancement or promotion data

Teacher Improvement/ Quality Instruction: lesson plans, adopted curriculum (TEKS-RS); PLC participation; virtual professional development reports; instructional round observations; teacher evaluations (T-TESS) with student growth component

Parent/ Community Engagement: parent surveys; Get-It-Together feedback; sign-in sheets; extra-curricular participation (sign-in sheets); volunteer sign-in sheets

PBIS: discipline data (principal log), counselor reports or observations; behavior facilitator reports; academic reports; parent input

Service Provider Evaluations: 60-day lesson plan reviews; academic data (outlined in RTI section): discipline data (outlined in PBIS section); succession data

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

lexas Education	Agency
-----------------	--------

### Schedule #15—Project Evaluation (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

There are several initiatives that this grant will address. First, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will focus on improving our RTI model. Improving our RTI model will affect all of the critical success factors, but will have the greatest focus on improving academic performance, using quality data to drive instruction, and increasing learning time. The improvement of our RTI model will require quality job-embedded professional development opportunities for our teachers and newly hired support staff. Our campus plans to continue our processes for using data to drive instruction. However, we plan to become more adept at creating small learning communities that effectively target the greatest areas of student need, with particular attention toward our at-risk student population. The process for assessing the effectiveness of our RTI program and its related activities lies in our process of collecting and disaggregating data. Academic data will be collected from multiple sources like formative and informative assessments, diagnostic assessments, benchmarks, classroom grades, report card and progress report grades, and reports from the various computer aided programs utilized by our campus. The information from these sources will be plotted on a data wall. The data wall will serve to inform our teachers of what interventions are necessary to address our students' academic needs. This data will be plotted every six weeks, but PLCs will be held weekly to discuss the current data that is continually collected in this process so that students receive interventions in a timely manner. The project manager is in charge of setting the schedule by which the data is collected and reviewed. The classroom teachers, under the direction of the teacher leader, are responsible for plotting the information on the wall. The project manager is then responsible for transferring the data into a format that can be disseminated to the staff. The project manager and the campus principal are responsible for facilitating the PLC process of setting goals, establishing timelines, and monitoring progress. If during this process the principal and the project manager determine that sufficient academic gains are not being met as evidenced by the data measured against the academic goals set forth by the PLCs, the administration will go through a removal process for a service provider. Second, RLMS will focus on improving teacher quality and developing a rigorous academic program through quality instruction. To improve in this area the middle school teachers will attend training on the various academic initiatives, technologies, and current best practices through both on and off-campus with activities such as: participating in instructional rounds, collaborating in PLCs, taking part in virtual professional development tools, as well as attending workshops. To measure the progress of this approach, teachers will undergo a 60-day lesson plan review as well as take part in our new teacher evaluation system, T-TESS, which has a student performance component. The project manager will be responsible for coordinating the lesson plan reviews. The principal, curriculum director, and project manager, through these reviews and classroom observations, will document the success of particular strategies as measured against the SMART goals set in the PLCs. The strategies tied to particular providers will be scrutinized according to these goals. If during this process the principal, project manager, and curriculum director determine that sufficient academic gains are not being met as evidenced by the data measured against the PLCs' SMART goals, the administration will go through a removal process for the service provider. Third, RLMS will strengthen their use of positive behavioral supports to improve school climate. A behavioral facilitator will be hired and trained according to the district PBIS model. The principal and counselor will be responsible for overseeing the behavioral facilitator's activities. The project manager will be responsible for coordinating the training opportunities. To measure the success of this approach the principal, counselor, and the behavioral facilitator will gather discipline data and compare that data to the academic data on the middle school population. This data will be used to target students who need behavioral or academic interventions in an effort to increase instructional time for students who may have otherwise been removed from the classroom. Based on the aforementioned data, the principal will keep track of discipline infractions and use that information as a basis for retaining or replacing the behavioral facilitator. Fourth, RLMS will institute procedures to encourage sustained leadership. In an effort to do this the project manager will be responsible for coordinating and supporting leadership activities on campus. These activities will include: instructional rounds, virtual networking, leadership training, and participating in the T-TESS evaluation system. RLMS will purposefully train teachers to take on various leadership roles so that the campus can effectively continue any initiative or program in the event someone leaves the campus. Finally, RLMS will increase its parent and community engagement. RLMS will hire a parent liaison to communicate with parents and community members concerning grant projects and initiatives. The project manager and principal will set SMART goals for participation and monitor the success of this initiative through parent surveys, sign-in sheets, and Get-It-Together feedback. Ultimately, the success of all initiatives will be measured by student achievement.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

rexas ⊨qu	cation A	Agency
-----------	----------	--------

### Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- · Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The review process used to select the highest-qualified and best-fit external providers for our projects is as follows:

In order to identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective providers, our campus first gathers preliminary information through a company's or services web site; or phone calls are made to ask specific questions about a product or service. This preliminary information gathered includes: cost per student, cost for staff support and training, type and frequency of follow-up support and training, location of main and satellite offices, the company's or service's familiarity with state standards, documented successes with other schools, and research used to develop the product or service.

To assess the level of experience in delivering the work, further research is done on how long the company, service, or product has been in operation. Concerning the aspect of trainers for a product or service, our campus will inquire about the trainer's experience in working with public schools. Companies or services that utilize veteran teachers are particularly sought after.

To establish a possible provider's history of prior success, our campus will reach out to other school districts that have utilized a particular service provider. The service center is also a valuable source for gathering information on educational service providers. When visiting a provider's web site, our campus looks for posted data that speaks to the success of a program or service.

Before contracting with a service provider, a risk-assessment must take place. The first risk that we must assess as a campus is the loss of instructional time. When service providers train our staff, our campus must weigh the benefit of receiving this training against the loss of instructional time for students. Providers who offer on-campus training that occurs during a teacher's planning time or training that offers support through modeling the service or product in the classroom is preferred because there is little loss of instructional time.

The second risk is cost. Service providers who offer similar products or services must be compared by price. Our campus assess which provider can offer the best quality product or service in combination with training and support at the most reasonable cost.

The last and most important risk we must asses is that of possible failures upon implementing the product or service. Our campus understands that sometimes a product or service, no matter how stellar the reputation, may not be a good fit for our students. Before contacting a service, teachers and staff meet to discuss the unique needs of our students and community in relation to the product of service being considered. If we determine that the majority of our students will be successful with a product or service, it becomes one of our campus's possible service providers.

Once our campus has researched the background of a service provider, investigated the research used to develop it, established that the company has a valid history of success, and assessed whether or not the provider will result in student success with minimal loss of instructional time and money, the project manager confers with the principal and teachers to make a final decision. When that decision is made, the project manager facilitates the implementation of the new product or service on the campus.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Texas Educatio	n Agency
----------------	----------

## Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- · Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- · Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- · Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Rosebud-Lott has a rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. First, we will create a schedule for the regular review of service providers. This schedule will be in line with the 60-day lesson plan reviews. These reviews will compare student academic, behavioral, and attendance data as well as teacher academic performance data to the particular service or product that was purchase to address needs in those areas. Each 60 days our campus will measure growth in the at-risk and economically disadvantaged populations particularly in the areas of reading, writing, and mathematics in both the 7th and 8<sup>th</sup> grades. We will document growth per population and attribute that growth, or lack of, to a particular program or service that is being used. If no growth occurs within a 60 day period, the campus will require more training or additional support from the provider. If there is still no growth after 90 days, then the provider will go through the process of removal. The persons responsible for this oversight and management are as follows: The project coordinator will oversee the 60 day reviews, the instructional coaches, establish and maintain a calendar of activities, facilitate PLCs. The instructional technologist will be responsible for supporting teachers in the implementation of technology in the classrooms and overseeing the providers of any technological services. The principal will be responsible for overseeing the project coordinator and the instructional coaching staff. The curriculum director s responsible for overseeing the alignment of the district adopted curriculum to the PLC trainers and other academic service providers. The DCSI will also oversee the project coordinator to make sure that the 60-day reviews are conducted and the appropriate reviews are taking place. The processes our campus will use to measure and monitor providers are as follows: Generate a report on student academic, behavioral, and attendance data as well as teacher performance data for our monitored populations. Documentation will be made on this report that specifies the particular service provider/s that supports the particular initiative reflected by the data. The data will then be reviewed for patterns of growth in predetermined goals for student success. These findings will be documented on a spreadsheet and shared with the principal, the DCSI, and the teacher leaders. If no growth is found during this review, additional data will be gathered through surveying teachers on the level of implementation or fidelity to a particular product or service. With these results the provider will be informed of our campus's needs. After another 30 days, another review will be conducted. If no growth occurs within this period of time, our campus will be gin the process of removing the provider and selecting a new one. The corrective actions to add additional supports utilized to improve a provider's performance are: If upon the 60 day review student or staff data reveal an adverse risk to student achievement, the principal, curriculum director, and teacher leaders will be informed of the deficit. The provider will be contacted about the issue. Teachers will also be surveyed to determine if the issue stems from poor implementation from the campus. At this point, the project manager and the service provider will develop a plan to address the issue. This plan will be documented on the data review sheet. The plan will be implemented, the students or teachers will be monitored, and performance will be reviewed in 30 days. If after 30 days, the data shows no sign of improvement, the campus will begin the removal process. He sequence for the removal of a service provider is as follows: There will be an initial 60-day review of a service being provided. If upon this review the data reveals that the provider is ineffectual, therefore, posing a threat to student success, an improvement plan will be developed between the campus and the provider. After 30 days of implementing this plan, the provider will undergo another review. If no growth is found upon this review, the principal, superintendent, curriculum director, and teacher leaders will be notified about the lack of progress. As a team, this committee will perform a risk assessment of continuing services with this provider. If the committee finds it more advantageous to remove the provider, the provider will be notified of either a discontinuation of services or a non-renewal, whichever our service contract allows.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

ı exas ⊨	ducation Agency Standard Application System (SAS
	Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
Statuto Pre-Imp to prep	Amendment # (for amendments only):  ory Requirement 3: Pre-Implementation Year. List and describe primary activities planned for the Planning/ plementation period in the grant to occur from January 1, 2016-July 31, 2016. These activities shall be designed are the district and campus for stronger full Implementation than would be possible without Pre-Implementation. These is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  Conduct 60-day reviews of teacher lesson plans and assessment data to ensure that the TEKS RS curriculum is being implemented with fidelity and is having the intended impact on student achievement.
2.	Train new staff members and refresh returning staff members on the implementation procedures for our school wide "response-to-intervention" model and the "Get It Together" parent involvement component of that model.
3.	Hire instructional coaches to provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students in identified low performing populations
4.	Establish smaller learning communities with the aid of interventionists for At-Risk students who are failing to meet academic standards or make adequate grade-appropriate academic progress.
5.	Construct a data wall as an early-warning system to identify students who may be at risk of failing and who are not making academic progress.
6.	Create financial incentives, based on a merit system that encourages staff involvement, recognizes various levels of accountability and rewards academic success.
7.	Train staff and teachers on the T-TESS evaluation system to offer a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system for teachers that takes into account data on student growth.
8.	Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development through the local service center, contracted service providers, and instructional coaches.
9.	Provide high-quality leadership training through the local service center, contracted service providers, and instructional coaches.
10.	Use data gathered weekly from the data wall, PLC meetings, and progress monitoring programs to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned.
11,	Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction.
12.	Establish a common planning time for teachers, interventionists, and instructional coaches to discuss student data in PLCs.
13.	Establish a schedule that provides students with an enrichment and intervention period to provide increased learning time.
14.	Contract with a community engagement service to support the middle school's transformational community and parent engagement efforts.
15.	Utilize the enrichment and intervention time to build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff.
16.	Hire a behavioral facilitator to utilize positive behavioral supports in an environment that allows students to have daily contact with instructors so that off-campus suspensions are reduced.
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
Change	For TEA Use Only s on this page have been confirmed with:  On this date:

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

ı	CVGO	Education Agency	

# Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Rosebud-Lott Middle School has several ongoing activities and existing systems that are currently being used to improve our school. Each year, Rosebud-Lott sets up a data wall to track student progress. The data wall is the central component of Rosebud-Lott Middle School's RTI program. This data wall is rebuilt every year because we understand that the needs of our students change from year to year. Prior to the construction of the data wall, our teachers meet to look at the available student data. Academic, behavioral, attendance, and state assessment data are all reviewed in this meeting. Then the teachers discuss any patterns and trends revealed by the data. At this point, the campus sets goals for student achievement and builds the data wall based on those goals. Throughout the year, teachers plot pertinent students information after which students are identified as belonging to one of three performance groups: Flyers, Bubbles, and Climbers. Then teachers meet weekly to discuss data within these performance groups to plan interventions that meet the specific needs of these students. Although the data wall system is already in place, this grant will help us obtain products and services that can give us access to individual student data that we do not already have. With that information, we plan to use grant funds to provide training for teachers and administrators on how to implement research-based interventions specific to the needs of our various subpopulations, especially students belonging to the economically disadvantaged and at-risk populations. Because of the small size of our staff, effectively carrying out small group interventions has presented a challenge. With the aid of this grant, Rosebud-Lott Middle School intends to hire interventionists to fill this need. Additionally, Rosebud-Lott Middle School intends to hire instructional coaches to work with teachers on how to research and implement high-yield instructional strategies that will sustain after grant funds are gone. This model of professional development will suit our campus best since sending just one academic teacher to a training results in the loss of instruction for an entire department. An additional component of the data wall is a parental involvement component called "Get It Together." A "Get It Together" meeting is a meeting between a student and an educator in which they discuss each student's personal data and work together to set goals. The parents then become involved when the student takes the results of this meeting home to parents who are invited to offer feedback. Rosebud-Lott has had some success with this approach and would like to continue it. However, it is often difficult to find time to meet with every student. Therefore, our campus would like to use grant funds to hire a parent liaison to coordinate this initiative as well as other community involvement activities. Rosebud-Lott Middle School is always looking for highquality professional development that will result in increased student achievement. We currently use PLCs, peer observations, and technology training as ongoing, job-embedded professional development activities. However, we have implemented these strategies with little guidance. Our data reveals that our campus needs specific training on activities like instructional rounds, PLCs, and distance learning to have the impact on students that we hope to see. Therefore, in addition to using grant funds to hire personnel, Rosebud-Lott will use the funds to provide quality, job-embedded professional development training to utilize our existing resources to more effectively create instructional programs that are rigorous and are aligned with state standards. Another source of data that Rosebud-Lott Middle School uses to ensure student success is the teachers' lesson plans. However, these lesson plans have never been reviewed to truly assess how closely they follow the state standards or the effect they have on student achievement. This year our campus plans to conduct 60-day reviews of lesson plans in order to affect teacher performance and student achievement. Teachers will also participate in a new evaluation system (T-TESS) in which lesson planning is a component of a teacher's evaluation. Even though grant funds will not pay for these activities, they will fund personnel and other activities that will free up campus resources so that these activities can be implemented. Rosebud-Lott Middle School also uses positive behavioral strategies to maintain a safe and orderly environment. We wish to expand that initiative by training new staff as well as hire a behavioral facilitator. We intend to train this person to utilize PBIS to work with students who have discipline issues in an effort to keep those students on campus so there is no subsequent loss of instructional time. As it stands, the middle school campus only has out-of-school suspension and DAEP a deterrent for misbehavior. Our data suggests that some students see these suspensions as a reward and continue to engage in disruptive behavior. Unfortunately, these placements result in a loss of instructional time. Therefore, RLMS would like to hire and train an individual in PBIS in an effort to extend this service to students who may make poor decisions. Finally, Rosebud-Lott Middle School currently offers very few advance courses to our students. With the help of grant funds, we would like to utilize our existing technology resources to create a sustainable program that provides students with more accelerated course options.

Use Only
On this date:
By TEA staff person:

l exas Education Agency Sc	hedule #16—Responses to	চ্যেরাতরাত Application System (১৪১ Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend		
Statutory Requirement 5: Pri		Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a TRANS who led the school prior to the first year at the applicant org not have been principal of th respond to the prompts in the t Applicants not proposing a Tra	FORMATION, EARLY LEAt commencement of the mode anization must have begate applicant organization pable below.  Instrumentation of Early Learning the second comments of the second comm	RNING or TURNAROUND model must replace the principal el. Specifically, for Cycle 4 implementation, the principal's n at or during school year 2014-2015. The principal may rior to school year 2014-2015. These applicants shall or Turnaround model, shall indicate below with "N/A". Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Name of principal who will be in place through the implementation of the model:	Todd Williams	
Hire date, or anticipated hire date of the principal who will be in place for implementation of the model:	N/A	

Use Only		
On this date:		
By TEA staff person:		

l exas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
Sc	hedule #16—Responses to 8	Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	lor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Flexibility option, please responsing a modern control of the second control of the seco	g a TRANSFORMATION or T the model. If proposing to mode and to the prompts in the table to dification/ not eligible to propose	URNAROUND model have the <u>option</u> to propose a
Element in the model selected for modification:	Hiring of a new principal	
Description of the modification:	the principal who led the sch	formation model stipulate that our campus must "replace ool prior to commencement of the transformation model." by this provision by continuing to employ the same principal mpus prior to this grant.
How intent of the original element remains/will be met:  If the intent of the original element remains, how will it be met?	support so the principal can neffectively. The current princip behavioral procedures that he 2011-2012, there were 84 tot in-school suspensions, 4 DAE were 63 total discipline infractions (9 control discipline infractions (9 control discipline infractions) and 4 DEAP placements, which campus suspension facilitator increase academic achievement 2015 Accountability S	ipal, Rosebud-Lott Middle School will provide training and nanage both the middle and high school campuses pal has demonstrated the ability to implement positive ave positively affected Rosebud-Lott Middle School. In all discipline infractions (56 out-of-school suspensions, 21 EP placements, 3 school detentions); in 2012-2013 there tions (55 out-of-school suspensions, 0 in-school nents, 0 school detentions); and in 2013-2014, there were 9 out-of-school suspensions, 0 in-school suspensions, 0 detentions). After adopting the high school campus, middle rose to 37 total discipline referrals, with 22 suspensions, ch further supports the middle school's need for an on The current principal has also demonstrated the ability to ent through supporting systematic progress monitoring development activities as evidenced by Rosebud-Lott's ummary report in which the middle school exceeded the nic indices as well as earning two academic distinctions

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

I CXGS	Education	Adency

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor. Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the data sources for student growth accounted for in the teacher and principal evaluation system. Include how student growth is weighted in evaluation: Rosebud-Lott Middle School is piloting the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS), which is a new evaluation system designed to support teachers in their professional development and help them grow and improve as educators. The T-TESS has three measures of teacher effectiveness, observation, teacher self-assessment, and student growth. Student growth accounts for 20% of the participating teacher's evaluation. Student growth measures how much a student progresses academically during his or her time with a particular teacher. The student's entering achievement level is measured against how much the student has grown academically over the academic year. Student growth data will be used as information that will help to inform teachers about their strengths and potential areas of focus for professional development.

Describe how the evaluation system design includes multiple observation-based assessments and ongoing collections of professional practice:

The observation component of T-TESS is weighted at 70%, which uses the following criteria to assess professional practice: The teacher designs clear, well-organized, sequential, best-practice lessons that align with standards and are appropriate for diverse learners; uses various measures for student progress; uses student data to inform instruction; exhibits a through knowledge of students and best practices; ensures high learning levels, social-emotional development and achievement; plans engaging, flexible lessons to encourage higher-order thinking, persistence and achievement; supports academic and social-emotional success; designs and executes lessons aligned with state standards, related content and student needs; clearly communicates persistence, deeper learning and effective effort; differentiates instruction to align to student needs; collects, analyzes, and adjusts student data as needed; creates a safe, and orderly classroom; sets and maintains clear expectations for behavior; leads a respectful, collaborative, and actively engaged classes; meets expectations for all professional responsibilities; reflects on practice, enhances the professional community, demonstrates leadership with all stakeholders through communication and outreach.

Describe how the evaluation system was developed with teacher and principal involvement:

The superintendent in conjunction with all of the campus principals met with Rosebud-Lott's teaching staff to discuss the launch of the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS). The administration held to meeting to obtain teacher feedback about the potential benefits of utilizing this system to improve instructional practices, offer more meaningful professional development, and strengthen the school community. Teachers were allowed to pose questions and voice concerns about the shift to a new evaluative tool. Because this new tool is a pilot program, Rosebud-Lott Middle School teachers and the principal will work together to develop the procedures and processes that will be implemented on our campus.

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

texas Education Agency

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: Educator Reward and Removal

Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model:

Rosebud-Lott Middle School has created a teacher incentive pay model based on these measurable criteria: Teacher Quality, Teacher Accountability, Employee Growth and Collaboration, Employment as a Math or Science Teacher, and Student Academic Performance.

These criteria have been selected to reward teachers for their contributions to the betterment of our students, to foster an environment of collaboration versus competition, and to prevent both student and employee evaluation inflation.

A credit system has been established in order to reward teachers and campus employees for their individual levels of accountability, contributions, and years of service. The credit system is also designed to encourage teachers and employees to become more involved in the middle school and to better themselves through collaboration, independent study, and higher education.

Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice:

Teachers who are struggling to improve professional practice are given several opportunities to improve. These opportunities include intervention strategies such as requiring teachers to work wit instructional specialists from the local service center and requiring teachers to attend professional development activities as mandated by the principal.

Describe the criteria established for educator removal:

Once a teacher has exhibited poor performance or an inability to carry out his or her professional duties, several steps are taken to determine if that educator should be removed from the campus. First, the principal documents evidence of the teacher's performance in class. After collecting this documentation, the principal offers suggestions for professional development or corrective action. The principal then follows up with the teacher to collect evidence that the suggestions or corrective actions have been followed. After at least three accounts of poor performance, a formal conference is held with the teacher to develop a plan to correct the issue. If the teacher makes no effort to improve or the teacher fails to incorporate the corrective steps outlined in the plan, the teacher and principal will meet with the superintendent to discuss further action. If improvement still has not been made, the principal will make a recommendation for non-renewal of contract at the end of the academic year.

Use Only		
On this date:		
By TEA staff person:		

l exas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS	
Schedule #16—Responses to Sta	ntutory Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # /for amendments only):	
Statutory Requirement 9: Non-Academic/Social-Emotional Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, TURNAROU comprehensive provisions for appropriate non-academic supposervices.  These applicants shall list and describe the non-academic, social provided to students in the space below.  Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design, Turnaround, or Response is limited to space provided front side only. Here Arie	Supports for Students ND, or WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM model must include orts, including social-emotional and community oriented ial-emotional, and community-oriented services that will whole School Reform shall indicate below with "NAA"	
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Aria	al font, no smaller than 10 point.	
For TEA Use Only		
	this date:	

By TEA staff person:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

Toxas Education Agency			Standard Application System (SAS
So	chedule #16—Responses t	o Statutory Requir	ements (cont.)
County-district number or ven	dor ID: 073-905	A	mendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 10: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.			
The state of the s	Novided, front side only. Use	Ariai tont, no small	er than 10 point.
Identify the IHE partner in place for the early college high school development and implementation. Include the title/role of the IHE primary	N/A		
point of contact, and			
essential agreements reached at this point:			
Toolied at this point.			
Propose an Exemplar Early College High School partner campus in place to serve as the demonstration site/model school. Explain why this school is an good partner for your development:	N/A		
Describe the sustainable source of funds or fee waiver plan that will enable students to access college courses, TSI assessments, textbooks and college fees; without cost to the student:	N/A		
Changes on this page house have	For TEA		
Changes on this page have been co		On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:	

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 46 of 68

l exas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
		Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	dor ID: 073-905	Amandment # /for amandments asky)
implemented for all students in High School (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A"	the school, which is consistent of the school, which is consistent or the description of the dot to the prompts in the table in the table.	e school-wide strategy (continued) ust deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, ent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.
responde is limited to space p	novided, from side only. Use	Ariai font, no smaller than 10 point.
Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2016-2017 to sixty (60) by the start of 2017-2018 school year:	N/A	
	For TEA	
Changes on this page have been co	onfirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

rexas ⊑oucation Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
Sc	chedule #16—Responses to Statutory R	Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or ven-	dor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for amendments only)
implemented for all students in High School (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall responshall indicate below with "N/A"	review the description of the Texas state-	e strategy (continued) comprehensive school improvement strategy, exas concept for developing an Early College design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and eants not proposing a Texas State-Design model
		•
Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and	N/A	
continued post-secondary education pursuits:		

	Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

техаз Ечисаноп Адепсу	Standard Application System (SAS	
Sc	hedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905		
definition included in program of the EAR definition included in program of the Please review the description of and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond intervention model shall indicate.	LY LEARNING INTERVENTION model must deliver a preschool program that meets the federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions	
Describe the schedule and staffing pattern for the full-day preschool that will meet standards for high qualification of staff, required child-to-staff ratios, required class size limitations and comparable staff salaries.  Indicate if the campus will partner with community-based provider to deliver the preschool.	N/A	
Describe how the preschool program proposed is: research-based; vertically aligned in math, science, literacy, language through the elementary grades; and develops socio-emotional skills:	N/A	
Describe the student assessment data that will be examined for the preschool and kindergarten classes that inform continuous improvement and next-grade readiness:	N/A	

	Use Only	
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	
t and the second		

Lexas Education Agency		
Sc	hedule #16—Responses	চারেররের Application System (১A১ to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905		Amendment # /for amendments and a
Statutory Requirement 14: Screening and Selecting Staff Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must measure the effectiveness of staff to work in the turnaround environment. In screening all existing staff, no more than 50% may be rehired to work in the turnaround model. Ple review the description of requirements for educator screening and selecting staff under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shindicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
	Total and the one only, os	e Anarioni, no smaller than 10 point.
Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of		
existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A	
Indicate the number of new staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A	
Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires:	N/A	
	900	
Changes on this page have be-	For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been co		On this date:
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:

exas ⊨ducation Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
Schedule #16—Responses to Sta	tutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # /for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 15: New Governance Structure/Turn Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must adopt a ne report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibilithe description of requirements for new governance structure ur Assurances.  These applicants shall describe the new governance structures Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".	varound Office  w campus governance structure in which the school may  around leader who reports to LEA executive leadership,  lity in exchange for greater accountability. Please review  ander the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Aria	
N/A	Toni, no smaller than 10 point.

	Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

l exas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS			
Sc	hedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)			
County-district number or vend	or ID: 073-905 Amendment # (for amendments only):			
Statutory Requirement 16: Whole-School Reform Model Developer Applicants proposing the WHOLE-SCHOOL REFORM model must implement an evidence-based model in partnership with a whole-school reform model developer. Please review the description of requirements under the Whole-School Reform model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Whole-School Reform model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
Name the model developer	The state of the case Anal fort, no smaller than To point.			
with whom you will partner to implement the whole-school reform:	N/A			
Describe the record of success the model developer has shown in implementing whole-school reform strategies:	N/A			
Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected.  Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling.  Include key findings showing impact on student achievement.  Additionally, provide citations for the study publications:	Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected.  Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling.  N/A  Include key findings showing impact on student achievement.  Additionally, provide citations			
	For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been co	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  On this date:			

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)				
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905				
	Amendment # (for amendments only):			
Statutory Requirement 17: Operations under a Charter School Operator, CMO or EMO.  Applicants proposing a RESTART model must convert or reopen the school under a charter school operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO); using a rigorous review process to select a provider who will restart the organization. Please review the description of requirements under the Restart model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  In the space below, these applicants shall describe the rigorous process to be used to select the restart organization; criteria used for selection; timeline for provider selection; and anticipated date for school reopening/conversion.  Applicants not proposing a Restart model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
N/A				

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)			
Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Rec	quirements (cont.)			
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905				
Statutory Requirement 18: Enrollment in higher achieving schools  Applicants proposing a CLOSURE model must enroll students who attended the school a higher achieving school within reasonable proximity to the closed school.  These applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, and timeline they will undertake within one year in order to transition students to higher achieving school in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
For TEA Use Only				

On this date:

By TEA staff person:

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

10000		
I EXGS	Education	Agency

## Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905

Amendment # (for amendments only):

# TEA Program Requirement 1: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

List the most important areas in which the campus will achieve increased academic performance through an improved instructional program through this grant.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Critical Success Factor:

Academic Performance/Improve the Instructional Program

	Planned Intervention		
1,	Conduct 60-day reviews of teacher lesson plans and assessment data to ensure that the TEKS RS curriculum is being implemented with fidelity and is having the intended impact on student achievement.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	Train new staff members and refresh returning staff members on the implementation procedures for our school wide "response-to-intervention" model and the "Get It Together" parent involvement component of that model.		⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	Hire instructional coaches to provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students in identified low performing populations: i.e. students with disabilities, students who with limited English proficiency, At-Risk students, and economically disadvantaged students.		⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.	Train personnel to use and integrate existing and new technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
5.	Offer opportunities during the school year as well as in the summer for students to enroll in high school accredited or advanced coursework like Algebra I and foreign languages by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
6.	Establish smaller learning communities with the aid of interventionists for At-Risk students who are failing to meet academic standards or make adequate grade-appropriate academic progress.	⊠ Year1 ⊠ Year2 ⊠ Year3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
7.	Construct a data wall as an early-warning system to identify students who may be at risk of failing and who are not making academic progress.	<ul><li>✓ Year 1</li><li>✓ Year 2</li><li>✓ Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

ıexa	as Education Age	ency	Siangarg Ai	oniication si	vstem (SAS)
	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)				
Со	unty-district num	ber or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for am	endments o	only):
Crii pro inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 2: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)  Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.  List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.  Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				nis t and that
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Increase Teacher Quality			
		Planned Intervention		1	od for entation
1.	recognizes vari offer opportunit	al incentives, based on a merit system that encourages solves levels of accountability and rewards academic succeities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible was recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary this school.	ess in an effort to work conditions that	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
2.	resulting from p	ctional rounds a system for measuring changes in instruc professional development.	·	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
3.	and equitable e growth as a sig	teachers on the T-TESS evaluation system to offer a rig evaluation systems for teachers that takes into account of prificant factor as well as other factors such as multiple of performance and ongoing collections of professional present.	lata on student observation-based	<ul><li>X Year 1</li><li>X Year 2</li><li>X Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
4.	who, in implem identify and ren	erit system to identify and reward school leaders, teache enting the transformation model, have increased studen nove those who, after ample opportunities have been pr rofessional practice, have not done so.	t achievement and	<ul><li>✓ Year 1</li><li>✓ Year 2</li><li>✓ Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
5.	local service ce	ngoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional develop enter, contracted service providers, and instructional coa is transformation model and its established goals.	oment through the ches that aligns with	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
6.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

7.

☐ Year 5

☐ Year 2

☐ Year 3

٠		 	
ł	CXAS	ıcanon	Adency

	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)				
		per or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (fo	r amendments or	nly):
Criti prog inve List inte and Add	EA Program Requirement 3: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.) critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this rogram, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and exestment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.  ist and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that exercise the interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.  Idditionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.  It is selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.  Idditionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.  It is also that the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.				
	ical Success	Increase Leadership Effectivenes			HOTO TO THE
		Planned Intervention		Perio Impleme	
ţ.,	and equitable e growth as a sig	erintendent on the T-TESS evaluation sy evaluation system for the principal that to nificant factor as well as other factors so f performance and ongoing collections of ement.	ikes into account data on student uch as multiple observation-based	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	Implement a merit system to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing the transformation model, have increased student achievement and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so.			E I I COLL	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.		uality leadership training through the loca instructional coaches that aligns with the hed goals.			⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.	Hire a project n	nanager to facilitate grant activities.		☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
5.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
6.				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
7.				☐ Year 1☐ Year 2☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
		For TEA	Use Only	W	
Char	iges on this page	have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via te	elephone/fax/emai	l (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

l exas Education Agency	Standard /	Application 5	ystem (SA
Schedule #17—Respo	onses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)		
County-district number or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for a	mendments	only):
TEA Program Requirement 4: Interventions to Critical Success Factors are the key research-bat program, under which school improvement initial investment in these focus areas is most impactful List and briefly describe the interventions selected interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirement and Root Causes identified through your needs a Additionally, indicate the period during the grant Response is limited to space provided, front side Critical Success	ased focus areas, aligned with the statutory requi- tives shall be planned. Research provides evider ul to achieve continuous school improvement. ed for implementation for this Critical Success Fa- nents listed in the program assurances, and supp- assessment.	rements of the nce that effor ctor. Ensure ort Problem	t and
Factor: Increase Use of Quality	Data to Inform Instruction		
	ntervention	1	od for entation
standards.	uctional program that is research-based and tas well as aligned with state academic	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
instructional coaches and interventionists.	erentiate instruction in order to meet the  ugh weekly PLC meetings and conferences with	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
Train staff to use data generated from technolog of the instructional program.  3.	ology-based supports and interventions as part	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
Establish a common planning time for teacher to discuss student data in PLCs. 4.	ers, interventionists, and instructional coaches	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
Implement a system that surveys and tracks modality to offer another data point on which 5.	student performance based on learning style or to base interventions.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
6.		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4
7.		Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4
	For TFA U O .		
changes on this page have been confirmed with:	For TEA Use Only On this date:		
/ia telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

ı	PYAS	HOU	cation	AGEN	11
	CAGO	u	COLUCI	AUCIN	. v

	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)					
		nber or vendor ID: 073-905		Amendment # (for am		nly):
Criti prog inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)  Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.  List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.  Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.					
Crit	ical Success Factor:	Increase Learning Time				
		Planned Intervention			Perio Impleme	d for entation
1.		hedule that provides students with an enrosed learning time.	ichment and int	tervention period to	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
2.	Offer high sch	ool accredited courses during the summe	er for middle sch	nool students.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
3.					☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
4.					Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4
5.					☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4
6.					Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
7.					☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
		Po also ber ≜	Usa Osla			
Char	nges on this page	For TEA e have been confirmed with:	On this date:		***************************************	
		ail (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff pe	rson:		

rexa	IS Education Ac	Octility) v	pplication 5	ystem (SAS
Col	intv-district nun	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)  aber or vendor ID: 073-905  Amendment # (for an		1.4
TE	A Program Red	quirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cor	t.)	
proginve inve List inte and Ado	ical Success Fagram, under whestment in these and briefly deserventions selectionally, indica	actors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory required school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement, which interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Facted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and suppodentified through your needs assessment.  It to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	ements of the ce that efforted to contract the contract of the	t and that
	tical Success Factor:	Increase Parent/Community Engagement		
		Planned Intervention	1	od for entation
	Contract with	a community engagement service to support the middle school's nal community and parent engagement efforts.	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year4
1.	uansioniatio	all community and parent engagement eπons.	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
,,			⊠ Year 3	
	Hire a nort tim	to parent linings to organize the middle sehecile to the line is		131104TV44W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W0W
	and parent en	e parent liaison to organize the middle school's transformational community gagement efforts.	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
2.	•		☑ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
			⊠ Year 3	
	Hire a part-tim	e middle school counselor.	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
3.			⊠ Year 2	☑ Year 5
.			⊠ Year 3	
	**************************************			
			☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
4.			☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
			☐ Year 3	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
5.			☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
J.			☐ Year 3	
			THE WEST AND THE SECOND	
			☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
6.			☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
			☐ Year 3	
			☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
7.			☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
			☐ Year 3	
		For TFA Use Only		***************************************

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

On this date:

By TEA staff person:

	~~~		
ı	CXdS	<b>Education</b>	Adency

	Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)				
Cou	Inty-district nun	nber or vendor ID: 073-905	Amendment # (for am	endments on	ly):
Crit prog inve List inte and Add	TEA Program Requirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)  Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.  List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.  Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented.  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.				
l .	tical Success Factor:	Improve School Climate			
		Planned Intervention		Period Impleme	
	Utilize the enr	ichment and intervention time to build rela	ationships between students, faculty,	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
1.	and other sch	ooi staff.		⊠ Year 2	☑ Year 5
*.				⊠ Year 3	
		mplement positive behavioral supports as	well as bullying and student	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
0	harassment p	revention.		⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
2.				⊠ Year 3	
	Hire an in-sch	ool suspension facilitator to utilize positive	e behavioral supports in an	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
3.	suspensions a	hat allows students to have daily contact are reduced.	with instructors so that off-campus	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year 5
J.	•			⊠ Year 3	
	***************************************				
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
4.				☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
				☐ Year 3	
	Modes Weddeldd Mae'n achar ann an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an			<b></b>	
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
5.				☐ Year 3	rears
				i lears	
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
6.				☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
				☐ Year 3	
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
7.				☐ Year 2	☐ Year 5
				☐ Year 3	
	***************************************			1	
Char	nae on this naga	For TEA to have been confirmed with:	_		
			On this date:		
Via te	telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)  By TEA staff person:				

rexas i	Education Agency	***************************************	Standard	Application 8	ystem (SAS	
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and	Participa	ition			
	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905 Amendment number (for amendments only):					
	arriers					
#	No Barriers		Students	Teachers	Others	
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access an participation for any groups	d	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
Barrie	er: Gender-Specific Bias	***************************************		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
#	Strategies for Gender-Specific Bias		Students	Teachers	Others	
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to ful participate	ılly				
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias					
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias					
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination a effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender					
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender	on of				
A06	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program					
A99	Other (specify)					
Barrie	r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity					
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	,	Students	Teachers	Others	
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language					
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities					
B03	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diverthrough a variety of activities, publications, etc.	rsity				
B04	Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiarie appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	es an ounds				
B05	Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities					
B06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for divers populations	se				
B07	Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differ and communicates an appreciation for diversity	ences				
B08	Seek technical assistance from education service center, technical assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other provi	ider				
B09	Provide parenting training				photosis	
B10	Provide a parent/family center					
B11	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making					
<u>-</u>			-			

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			
	l i			

lexas i	=ducation Agency	Standara	ABBROATER	
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation	n (cont.)	Application 5	ystem (SAS
Count			amendments	only):
Barrie	er: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.)		***************************************	
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B12	Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school			
B13	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities			
B14	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities			
B15	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program			
B16	Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries			
B17	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents			
B18	Coordinate with community centers/programs			
B19	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education			
B20	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color			
B21	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color	П		
B22	Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program			
B23	Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and complaints			
B99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Gang-Related Activities			
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
C01	Provide early intervention			
C02	Provide counseling			
C03	Conduct home visits by staff			
C04	Provide flexibility in scheduling activities			
C05	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities			
C06	Provide mentor program			
C07	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities			

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

l exas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS					
Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation (cont.)					
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905  Amendment number (for amendments only):				only):	
-	er: Gang-Related Activities (cont.)				
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activ	rities .	Students	Teachers	Others
C08	Provide community service programs/activities				
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
C10	Strengthen school/parent compacts				
C11	Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencie				
C12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/	programs			
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industr				
C14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, with gang-related issues	and parents to deal			
C99	Other (specify)				
	r: Drug-Related Activities			1	
#	Strategies for Drug-Related Activ	ities	Students	Teachers	Others
D01	Provide early identification/intervention				
D02	Provide counseling				
D03	Conduct home visits by staff				
D04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free so communities	hools and			
D05	1 - 3 1				П
D06	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional programs/activities	, cultural, or artistic			
D07	Provide community service programs/activities		П		П
D08				П	
D09					
D10			П		
D11	Develop/maintain community partnerships				
D12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/p	rograms			
D13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry higher education				
D14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, with drug-related issues	and parents to deal			
D99	Other (specify)		П	П	
Barrier	r: Visual Impairments		·		
#	Strategies for Visual Impairment	S	Students	Teachers	Others
E01			П		Others
E02					
Changes	For TEA Us on this page have been confirmed with:		***************************************	<b>*************************************</b>	
		On this date:			
via telep	hone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	by TEA staff person:			

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 64 of 68

l exas t	coucation Agency	Standard	Application 8	ystem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation	<u>n</u> (cont.)	1	
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905  Amendment number (for amendments only):				
Barrie	r: Visual Impairments			
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
E03	Provide program materials/information in large type			
E04	Provide program materials/information on tape			
E05	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for visual impairment			
E06	Provide training for parents			
E07	Format materials/information published on the internet for ADA accessibility			
E99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Hearing Impairments		L	
#	Strategies for Hearing Impairments			
F01	Provide early identification and intervention			
F02	Provide interpreters at program activities			
F03	Provide captioned video material			
F04	Provide program materials and information in visual format			
F05	Use communication technology, such as TDD/relay			
F06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for hearing impairment			
F07	Provide training for parents			
F99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Learning Disabilities			
#	Strategies for Learning Disabilities	Students	Teachers	Others
G01	Provide early identification and intervention			
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor programs			
G03	Provide staff development in identification practices and effective teaching strategies			
G04	Provide training for parents in early identification and intervention			
G99	Other (specify)			
Barrier	: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints			
#	Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints	Students	Teachers	Others
H01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with other physical disabilities or constraints			
H02	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies			
H03	Provide training for parents			
H99	Other (specify)			
				DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF

For TEA Use Only			
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:		

1exas i	Education Agency		Standard	Application :	vstem (545
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and	Participatio	n (cont.)	тррпоской с	by stells to Ac
	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905  Amendment number (for amendments only):			only):	
Barrier: Inaccessible Physical Structures					
#	Strategies for Inaccessible Physical Structures		Students	Teachers	Others
J01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by s with other physical disabilities/constraints	tudents			
J02	Ensure all physical structures are accessible				
J99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Absenteeism/Truancy		.]	<u> </u>	
#	Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy		Students	Teachers	Others
K01	Provide early identification/intervention				Dillers
K02	Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan				
K03	Conduct home visits by staff				
K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance				
K05	Provide mentor program				
K06	Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities	S			
K07	Conduct parent/teacher conferences				
K08	Strengthen school/parent compacts				
K09	Develop/maintain community partnerships				
K10	Coordinate with health and social services agencies				
K11	Coordinate with the juvenile justice system				
K12	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution	tions of			
K99	Other (specify)				
Barrier	: High Mobility Rates			<b></b>	<u></u>
#	Strategies for High Mobility Rates		Students	Teachers	Others
L01	Coordinate with social services agencies				
L02	Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families	**************************************			<u> </u>
L03	Establish/maintain timely record transfer system				
L99	Other (specify)		П		
Barrier	: Lack of Support from Parents		-		
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents		Students	Teachers	Others
M01	Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents			П	
M02	Conduct home visits by staff				

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

T CXC	as Education Agency	conerc	ra u poucasion		
<u> </u>	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participat	on (cont.)	ru Application	System (SA	
Ostarty-District Nulliber of Vendor ID: 073-905					
	" arents (cont.)				
#	Ottategles for Lack of Support from Parents	Students	Teachers	Others	
MO	participate in school activities	П	П	Others	
MO	o sind so parent teacher conferences				
MO:	Tabiloti School/parent compacts				
Mod	- Povide parenting training				
Mo	- Forde a parentilatilly center				
MO8	Tractice program materials/information in home language				
Mos	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision and in				
M10	Utilet Tlexible opportunities for involvement in the first				
M11	- Latitude and other activities that don't require coming to school				
M12	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and				
17112					
M13	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program				
M14	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents				
M15	Facilitate school health advisory councils four times a year				
M99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	er: Shortage of Qualified Personnel				
N01	Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel  Develop and implement a plan to provide the state of the stat	Students	Teachers	Others	
N02	Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel  Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language				
······································			П		
N03	Provide mentor program for new teachers	П			
N04	Provide intern program for new teachers	П			
N05	Provide an induction program for new personnel				
N06	Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel				
N07	Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs				
N99	Other (specify)				
3arrie:	r: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits				
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits				
P01	Develop and implement a plan to inform program handfolder of	Students	Teachers	Others	
	Program activities and benefits				
P02	Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and benefits				

Changes and this	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
DEA 4704 4	

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS					
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Pa	rticipation			
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 073-905  Amendment number (for amendments only):					
Barrie	r: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.)				
#	The state of the s		Students	Teachers	Others
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations and newspapers a program activities/benefits	about			
P99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V 1. 311 mm. V 400 mm.
#	Strategies for Lack of Transportation	,	Students	Teachers	Others
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiarie activities				
Q02	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home lear activities and other activities that don't require coming to school	Ī			
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other neigh locations	borhood			
Q99	Other (specify)				
Barrier	r: Other Barriers				
#	Strategies for Other Barriers		Students	Teachers	Others
Z99	Other barrier			П	
	Other strategy		Ll	LI	<u></u>
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy				LJ
Z99	Other barrier		П		
	Other strategy Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy		П	П	П
**************************************	Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy				
	Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy				
Z99 -	Other barrier		<b></b> 1	[	
	Other strategy				L
Z99	Other barrier		<u></u>		<u> </u>
2.00	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier			<u></u>	<b>1</b>
	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy		LI		
The state of the s	For TFA Use Only		occordant de la constant de la cons		1
	FOR LEA LICA (Inlu				

Changes on this page have been confirmed with:

Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

On this date:

By TEA staff person: